RUSSIA'S STRATEGIC PIVOT TO AFRICA: GEOPOLITICAL IMPLICATIONS IN A MULTIPOLAR WORLD

Habib Badawi[1]

Introduction

The global geopolitical landscape is undergoing significant transformation, with new power centers challenging the longstanding dominance of Western nations. Among these emerging players, Russia has increasingly sought to expand its influence beyond its traditional spheres of interest. In recent years, Moscow has undertaken a strategic pivot towards Africa, reviving historical ties and forging new partnerships across the continent. This renewed engagement comes at a critical juncture, as tensions between Russia and the West have escalated, particularly in the wake of the Ukraine conflict.

The resurgence of Russian interest in Africa is not merely a tactical move but a strategic reorientation of foreign policy. As Abramova (2024) argues, this rapprochement is driven by long-term strategic considerations rather than short-term tactical gains. This shift reflects a broader reassessment of Russia's global role and its aspirations to challenge the Western-dominated international order.

Research questions and objectives

This paper aims to examine the motivations, strategies, and implications of Russia's growing presence in Africa. It seeks to address several key questions:

1 History Department, Lebanese University. Beirut, Lebanon. E-mail: habib.badawi@ul.edu.lb. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6452-8379.

https://doi.org/10.22456/2448-3923.142484

1. What are the primary drivers behind Russia's renewed interest in Africa?

2. How does Moscow's approach to African engagement differ from that of other global powers?

3. What are the potential implications of Russia's Africa strategy for global geopolitics and the emerging multipolar world order?

4. How might African nations navigate the increasing competition between global powers on the continent?

The significance of this study lies in its potential to shed light on the evolving dynamics of international relations in the 21st century. As Africa becomes an increasingly important arena for global competition, understanding Russia's role and objectives on the continent is crucial for policymakers, scholars, and stakeholders in international affairs.

Russia's engagement in Africa represents a microcosm of broader global power shifts. By examining this specific case, we can gain insights into the changing nature of international relations, the challenges to Western hegemony, and the emergence of new forms of cooperation and competition in the Global South.

Theoretical framework and methodology

Russia's strategic pivot to Africa can be understood through a composite theoretical lens that incorporates elements of neorealism, constructivism, neo-imperialism, soft power theory, and geoeconomics. This integrated framework allows for a nuanced analysis of the economic, geopolitical, and ideational factors driving Russia's engagement with the African continent.

Neorealist perspective

The neorealist theory, as articulated by Kenneth Waltz and John Mearsheimer, provides a foundation for understanding Russia's behavior in terms of power balancing and security maximization in an anarchic international system. Russia's engagement in Africa can be seen as an attempt to: a) counterbalance Western influence and promote multipolarity; b) secure strategic resources and geopolitical positioning; and c) enhance its relative power in the international system. Neorealism helps explain Russia's efforts to establish military footholds in strategic locations, such as the proposed

naval facility in Sudan, and its focus on arms sales and security cooperation with African nations.

Constructivist elements

Constructivism, as developed by Alexander Wendt and others, offers insights into the role of ideas, identities, and norms in shaping Russia's Africa strategy. This perspective helps explain:

a) Russia's emphasis on shared anti-colonial narratives and opposition to Western hegemony.

b) The cultivation of a great power identity through engagement in Africa.

c) The importance of status and recognition in international relations.

Constructivism illuminates Russia's efforts to position itself as an alternative partner to the West, leveraging historical ties and shared narratives of resistance to Western dominance.

Neo-imperialist framework

Drawing on neo-imperialist theory, as discussed by scholars like David Harvey (2003), we can analyze Russia's approach in terms of: a) economic exploitation and resource extraction; b) the use of military power and private military contractors to exert influence; and c) the creation of spheres of influence and client states. This framework helps explain Russia's focus on resource-rich countries and its willingness to intervene in complex security environments, as seen in the Central African Republic.

Soft Power and public diplomacy

Joseph Nye's (2004) concept of soft power, combined with theories of public diplomacy, helps explain: a) Russia's efforts to cultivate cultural and educational ties with African nations; b) the use of media and information campaigns to shape perceptions; and c) the leveraging of historical relationships and shared narratives. Soft power theory sheds light on Russia's investment in educational exchanges, media outreach, and cultural diplomacy initiatives in Africa.

Geoeconomics

Drawing on the work of Edward Luttwak (1990) and others, the geoeconomic perspective illuminates: a) the use of economic instruments for geopolitical objectives; b) the strategic importance of energy partnerships and infrastructure projects; and c) the role of state-owned enterprises in advancing national interests. Geoeconomics helps explain Russia's focus on energy sector cooperation, infrastructure development, and the use of economic leverage to gain political influence in Africa.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research approach to analyze Russia's strategic pivot to Africa. This method is chosen for its ability to provide in-depth insights into complex geopolitical phenomena. The qualitative approach allows for a nuanced examination of the historical, political, and economic factors shaping Russia's engagement with Africa.

The research draws on a wide range of primary and secondary sources, including official documents, speeches, and policy papers from Russian and African governments, as well as academic literature, expert analyses, and media reports. Key sources include reports from think tanks such as the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, the Institute for Security Studies, and academic journals such as The Washington Quarterly and the South African Journal on International Affairs.

The paper combines historical analysis with contemporary case studies to provide a comprehensive examination of Russia's Africa strategy. A comparative approach is also used to analyze how Russia's engagement in Africa differs from that of other global powers, particularly China and Western nations. This framework allows for a contextualized understanding of Russia's actions within the broader landscape of international relations in Africa.

Historical context: Russia-Africa relations

The relationship between Russia and Africa represents one of modern history's most dynamic and evolving geopolitical partnerships. From the ideological engagements of the Soviet era through a period of post-Soviet withdrawal to contemporary reemergence, this relationship has

profoundly shaped both African development and global power dynamics. Understanding this historical trajectory is crucial for contextualizing current Russian activities on the continent and anticipating future developments in Russia-Africa relations. This section explores the three distinct phases that have characterized this relationship, examining how changing global circumstances, political ideologies, and economic interests have influenced Russia's approach to engagement with African nations.

Soviet-Era engagement (1950-1991)

During the Cold War, the Soviet Union was a significant player in Africa, supporting anti-colonial movements and newly independent states. This engagement was characterized by ideological solidarity, economic aid, and military assistance. Matusevich (2009) highlights the complex nature of Soviet involvement in Africa, which went beyond mere ideological export to include significant cultural and educational exchanges.

Major projects from this era included the Aswan High Dam in Egypt. These initiatives showcased the Soviet Union's commitment to supporting African development while simultaneously expanding its geopolitical influence (Zubok 2022).

The Soviet Union's approach in Africa was not uniform across the continent. Yordaniov (2012) provides a detailed analysis of Soviet involvement in Ethiopia and Somalia from 1947 to 1991, illustrating the complexities and contradictions in Soviet policy. The Horn of Africa became a significant arena for Cold War competition, with the Soviet Union and the United States backing opposing sides in regional conflicts.

Post-Soviet decline (1991-2000)

The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 led to a sharp decline in Russia's presence in Africa. Economic constraints and a focus on domestic issues resulted in the withdrawal of most Russian personnel and the cessation of many aid programs. This period saw Western countries and, increasingly, China fill the void left by Russia's retreat.

Zubok (2022) argues that this retreat was not merely a result of economic constraints but also reflected a broader disillusionment with Soviet-era foreign policy commitments. The new Russian leadership under Boris Yeltsin

prioritized integration with the West, leading to a neglect of traditional Soviet allies in Africa and elsewhere in the Global South.

Foundations for renewed interest (2000-present)

The early 2000s marked the beginning of Russia's renewed interest in Africa. This was driven by economic recovery under Vladimir Putin's leadership and a desire to reassert Russia's global influence. Initial efforts focused on debt forgiveness and the revival of Soviet-era relationships. Marten (2019) identifies several factors contributing to Russia's return to Africa:

1. A desire to challenge Western influence and promote a multipolar world order.

2. Economic opportunism, particularly in the extractive industries.

3. The opportunity to project power through military cooperation and arms sales.

4. The search for diplomatic support in international forums.

This renewed engagement has been characterized by a more pragmatic approach compared to the ideologically driven Soviet era. Russia has sought to leverage its strengths in areas such as energy, mining, and military technology to build partnerships with African nations.

Drivers of Russia's African strategy

Contemporary shifts in the global order have precipitated notable realignments in international partnerships, particularly evident in the evolving dynamics between major powers and the African continent. The examination of strategic engagement patterns reveals multifaceted drivers that shape interstate relations across economic, political, and security domains.

Economic imperatives

Sanctions imposed by Western countries following the annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the invasion of Ukraine in 2022 have compelled Russia to seek new markets and economic partnerships. Africa, with its growing

consumer base and vast natural resources, presents an attractive alternative to Western markets.

Gopaldas (2023) argues that Russia's economic engagement with Africa is driven by both necessity and opportunity. The need to circumvent Western sanctions has accelerated Russia's pivot towards non-Western markets, with Africa emerging as a key focus. At the same time, Africa's rapid economic growth and urbanization present significant opportunities for Russian businesses, particularly in sectors such as energy, infrastructure, and technology. Russia's economic strategy in Africa is multifaceted, encompassing:

1. Agricultural cooperation: Russia has sought to leverage its agricultural expertise to develop partnerships with African nations, particularly in grain production and export.

2. Arms sales: Africa has become a significant market for Russian military equipment. The Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (2022) reports that Russia accounted for 44% of arms imports to Africa between 2017 and 2021.

3. Infrastructure development: Russian firms have secured contracts for major infrastructure projects, including nuclear power plants and transportation networks.

4. Resource extraction: Russian companies have invested heavily in African oil, gas, and mineral resources. For example, Trickett (2017) details Rosneft's involvement in Mozambique's offshore gas fields.

Geopolitical considerations

Russia's engagement in Africa is part of a broader strategy to challenge Western global dominance and promote a multipolar world order. By expanding its influence in Africa, Russia aims to diminish Western sway over the continent and position itself as a global power broker.

Allard and Masuhr (2022) argue that Russia's Africa strategy is rooted in a historical narrative of anti-imperialism and support for national sovereignty. This narrative resonates with many African leaders who are wary of Western interventionism and conditionality in aid and trade relationships. Russia's geopolitical objectives in Africa include:

1. **Countering Western influence:** By offering alternative partnerships in areas such as security cooperation and economic development, Russia seeks to reduce African dependence on Western nations.

2. **Demonstrating global reach:** A strong presence in Africa allows Russia to project power beyond its immediate neighborhood and claim the status of a global power.

3. **Securing strategic locations:** Russia has shown interest in establishing military facilities in strategic locations, such as the proposed naval logistics center in Sudan (Ramani 2021).

4. **Shaping regional dynamics:** Through targeted interventions and support for specific factions, Russia aims to influence political outcomes in key African nations.

Resource access and security

Africa's abundant natural resources, including oil, gas, and minerals, align with Russian economic interests. Securing access to these resources enhances Russia's global economic position and provides leverage in international markets.

Mlambo, Kushamba and Simawu (2016) compare Russia's resource-focused strategy in Africa with that of China, noting that while both nations seek access to African resources, Russia's approach is often more closely tied to geopolitical considerations. Russian companies, many with close ties to the state, have secured significant stakes in African resource extraction projects, particularly in the energy sector. The resource security dimension of Russia's Africa strategy includes:

1. **Agricultural resources:** Cooperation in agriculture aims to enhance Russia's food security and leverage its position as a major grain exporter.

2. **Diversification of energy partnerships:** By investing in African oil and gas projects, Russia seeks to maintain its global energy influence even as Europe attempts to reduce dependence on Russian supplies.

3. **Securing critical minerals:** Russia has shown interest in African deposits of rare earth elements and other minerals crucial for high-tech industries.

Diplomatic support in international forums

African nations comprise a significant voting bloc in international organizations, making their diplomatic backing crucial for Russia. This support is particularly valuable as Russia faces increased isolation from Western countries.

Handy and Djilo (2022) analyze Africa's divided stance on the Ukraine war, highlighting the complex factors influencing African nations' positions in international forums. Russia has actively courted African diplomatic support, emphasizing historical ties and shared opposition to Western hegemony. The diplomatic dimension of Russia's Africa strategy includes:

1. Counterbalancing Western narratives: African support helps Russia challenge Western-dominated global narratives on issues such as democracy, human rights, and international security.

2. Legitimacy in international organizations: African backing helps Russia maintain its influence in bodies such as the UN Security Council.

3. Support for Russian initiatives: Russia has sought African participation in forums it has established, such as the Russia-Africa Summit, to demonstrate its global diplomatic reach.

4. Votes in the UN General Assembly: Russia seeks African support on key resolutions, such as those related to the Ukraine conflict (United Nations 2022).

Dimensions of Russian Engagement in Africa: Economic, Military, and Diplomatic Initiatives

The examination of contemporary engagement strategies between major powers and African nations reveals distinct operational patterns across multiple sectors. This section analyzes Russia's systematic approach to African engagement through four key dimensions: economic cooperation and investment, military assistance and arms sales, diplomatic initiatives, and infrastructure development. Through empirical observation of documented activities and agreements, these interconnected elements demonstrate the methodological framework through which interstate relations are cultivated and maintained in the modern context.

Economic cooperation and investment

Russia has significantly increased its economic engagement with Africa, focusing on energy, mining, and agriculture. Key players include state-owned companies like Gazprom, Rosneft, and Rosatom. While the overall trade volume remains modest compared to China or Western nations (approximately $18 billion in 2022), there is significant potential for growth.

Mishra (2023) characterizes Russia's economic strategy in Africa as "low-risk, high-reward," noting that Russia has been able to gain significant influence through relatively modest investments. This approach has allowed Russia to compete with more established economic players in Africa, such as China and Western nations, despite having fewer resources at its disposal. Key aspects of Russia's economic engagement include:

1. Agricultural cooperation: Russia has leveraged its position as a major grain exporter to develop agricultural partnerships with African nations. This includes not only trade but also technology transfer and joint development projects.

2. Energy sector partnerships: Russian companies have secured significant contracts in oil and gas exploration and production across Africa. For example, Rosneft's involvement in Mozambique's offshore gas fields represents a major investment in the continent's energy future (Trickett 2017).

3. Infrastructure development: Russian firms have secured contracts for major infrastructure projects, including transportation networks, energy facilities, and industrial complexes. These projects often come with favorable financing terms, making them attractive to African governments.

4. Mining ventures: Russian companies have invested in mining operations across Africa, particularly in gold, diamonds, and strategic minerals. These investments often come with agreements for processing and marketing the extracted resources.

5. Nuclear energy cooperation: Rosatom, Russia's state nuclear energy corporation, has signed agreements with several African countries to develop nuclear power plants. These long-term projects not only provide energy solutions but also create lasting technological dependencies.

Military assistance and arms sales

A cornerstone of Russia's strategy has been providing military support and arms to various African nations. This includes weapons sales, training programs, and the deployment of private military contractors. This approach builds on historical ties and capitalizes on Russia's reputation as a major arms exporter.

Marten (2019) highlights the dual nature of Russia's military engagement in Africa, noting that it serves both economic and geopolitical objectives. Arms sales provide revenue for the Russian defense industry while also creating long-term dependencies and influence. Key elements of Russia's military assistance to Africa include:

1. Arms sales: Russia has become the largest arms supplier to Africa, accounting for 44% of the continent's arms imports between 2017 and 2021 (Stockholm International Peace Research Institute 2022). These sales range from small arms to advanced systems like fighter jets and air defense systems.

2. Counterterrorism cooperation: Russia has positioned itself as a partner in counterterrorism efforts, particularly in the Sahel region. This cooperation often includes intelligence sharing and joint operations.

3. Peacekeeping missions: Russia has participated in UN peacekeeping missions in Africa, using these deployments to enhance its military presence and influence on the continent.

4. Private military contractors: The deployment of Russian private military companies, most notably the Wagner Group, has become a significant aspect of Russia's engagement in Africa. Racz (2020) analyzes the complex relationship between these contractors and the Russian state, noting their role in advancing Russian interests in conflict zones.

5. Training and advisory services: Russian military personnel provide training to African armed forces, enhancing interoperability with Russian equipment and doctrines. This creates lasting ties between Russian and African military establishments.

Diplomatic outreach and soft power

Russia has complemented its economic and military initiatives with diplomatic efforts, including high-level summits, bilateral agreements, and support for African interests in international forums. Soft power initiatives

include educational exchanges and media outreach, although these remain limited compared to Western efforts.

Abramova (2024) argues that Russia's diplomatic engagement with Africa is strategic rather than tactical, aimed at building long-term partnerships and influence. This approach includes:

1. Bilateral partnerships: Russia has cultivated strong bilateral relationships with key African nations, often focusing on countries with strategic resources or geopolitical importance.

2. Educational exchanges: Russia has revived Soviet-era programs offering scholarships to African students, aiming to create a cadre of African elites with ties to Russia.

3. Media and information campaigns: Russian state-backed media outlets like RT and Sputnik have expanded their African coverage, offering alternative narratives to Western media (Siegel 2023).

4. Russia-Africa Summit: Initiated in 2019, this high-level forum brings together Russian and African leaders to discuss cooperation across various sectors. The summit symbolizes Russia's commitment to engaging with Africa as a bloc, similar to China's Forum on China-Africa Cooperation (FOCAC).

5. Support in international forums: Russia advocates for African interests in international organizations, positioning itself as a champion of African causes on the global stage.

However, Weiss and Rumer (2019) note that Russia's soft power initiatives in Africa face significant challenges, including limited resources compared to Western and Chinese efforts, and the lingering effects of Russia's retreat from Africa in the 1990s.

Infrastructure Development Projects

Russia has engaged in infrastructure projects across Africa, particularly in the energy sector. Rosatom's nuclear power plant agreements in several countries exemplify this approach, positioning Russia as a key player in Africa's energy future. Key aspects of Russia's infrastructure engagement include:

1. Digital infrastructure: Russian tech companies have shown increasing interest in Africa's growing digital economy, offering solutions in areas such as telecommunications and cybersecurity.

2. Industrial facilities: Russia has invested in the development of industrial complexes, particularly in the mining and energy sectors, often as part of broader resource extraction agreements.

3. Nuclear energy: Rosatom has signed agreements with several African countries, including Egypt, Nigeria, and Ghana, to develop nuclear power plants. These projects not only address energy needs but also create long-term technological dependencies.

4. Transportation networks: Russian companies have been involved in railway construction and modernization projects in several African countries, enhancing connectivity and trade potential.

However, as Marten (2019) points out, Russia's infrastructure investments in Africa are often more limited in scale compared to those of China or Western nations, reflecting Russia's more focused and strategic approach to engagement on the continent.

Case studies

The empirical analysis of specific regional engagements provides crucial insights into the practical implementation of strategic initiatives in Africa. This section examines three distinct cases — Sudan's maritime significance, the Central African Republic's security dynamics, and North African energy partnerships — to illustrate the varied manifestations of interstate cooperation. Through detailed examination of these cases, patterns emerge that demonstrate how theoretical frameworks of engagement translate into tangible diplomatic, economic, and security outcomes across different regional contexts.

Sudan and the Red Sea strategy

The proposed establishment of a Russian naval logistics center in Port Sudan highlights Moscow's strategic ambitions in the Red Sea region. This move, if realized, would provide Russia with a foothold in a critical maritime corridor and challenge Western influence in the area. Ramani (2021) analyzes Russia's strategy in Sudan, noting that it encompasses multiple dimensions:

1. Economic interests: Russia has invested in Sudan's gold mining sector and sees potential for further resource extraction.

2. Military presence: The naval facility would allow Russia to project power in the Red Sea and Indian Ocean, enhancing its global naval reach.

3. Political influence: Russia has cultivated ties with Sudan's military leadership, positioning itself as a key external partner during the country's political transition.

4. Regional dynamics: The presence in Sudan allows Russia to play a more significant role in Red Sea and Horn of Africa geopolitics.

However, the realization of this strategy faces challenges, including political instability in Sudan and opposition from other regional and global powers.

Central African Republic: military cooperation and resource extraction

Russia's involvement in the Central African Republic (CAR) demonstrates its willingness to engage in complex security environments. Through military training, arms sales, and the reported presence of private military contractors, Russia has gained significant influence in CAR, securing access to mineral resources in return. Olivier (2021) details the multifaceted nature of Russia's engagement in CAR:

1. Diplomatic protection: Russia has shielded the CAR government from international criticism, using its position in the UN Security Council to moderate sanctions and peacekeeping mandates.

2. Political influence: Russia has become a key supporter of President Faustin-Archange Touadéra's government, gaining significant political leverage.

3. Resource access: In return for its support, Russia has secured rights to explore and exploit CAR's mineral resources, particularly diamonds and gold.

4. Security provision: Russian private military contractors, particularly the Wagner Group, have played a crucial role in training CAR's armed forces and providing security to the government.

This case illustrates Russia's ability to leverage limited resources for significant geopolitical gain, albeit in a highly unstable environment.

North Africa: energy partnerships and political influence

In North Africa, particularly Libya and Algeria, Russia has sought to leverage its energy expertise and political relationships to gain influence. This includes involvement in Libya's civil conflict and energy sector partnerships with Algeria. Key aspects of Russia's engagement in North Africa include:

1. Algeria: Russia has cultivated strong ties with Algeria, focusing on energy cooperation, arms sales, and political alignment on regional issues. Algeria remains one of Russia's key partners in Africa.

2. Egypt: While maintaining its traditional relationship with the United States, Egypt has diversified its partnerships, with Russia playing an increasingly important role, particularly in nuclear energy development and arms sales.

3. Libya: Russia has supported the Libyan National Army led by Khalifa Haftar, providing military assistance and diplomatic backing. This involvement aims to secure a role for Russia in Libya's future political settlement and energy sector (Marten 2019).

Russia's approach in North Africa demonstrates its ability to navigate complex regional dynamics and compete with established Western influence in the region.

Challenges and limitations

The analysis of contemporary engagement strategies necessitates consideration of constraining factors and potential limitations. This section examines four critical challenges: Western response mechanisms, regional stability concerns, resource allocation constraints, and the dynamics of great power competition. Through systematic evaluation of these factors, this analysis provides insight into the practical limitations and strategic considerations that shape the effectiveness and sustainability of international engagement initiatives in Africa.

Western counterstrategies

The United States and its allies are likely to intensify efforts to counter Russian influence in Africa, potentially leading to increased competition and tension on the continent. Gopaldas (2023) notes that Western nations have

begun to reassess their engagement with Africa in light of growing Russian and Chinese influence. Potential Western counterstrategies include:

1. Diplomatic initiatives to reinforce traditional partnerships.
2. Efforts to highlight the potential risks of engagement with Russia.
3. Enhanced security cooperation and military assistance programs.
4. Increased economic investment and development aid.

Regional instability and security concerns

Political volatility in many African nations complicates long-term strategic planning and investment for Russia. The risks associated with operating in unstable environments may limit Russia's ability to fully capitalize on economic opportunities or maintain consistent influence.

Duursma and Masuhr (2020) highlight the challenges Russia faces in navigating complex local conflicts and balancing relationships with competing factions. The reliance on private military contractors, while providing flexibility, also carries reputational risks and potential for escalation.

Resource constraints and competing priorities

Russia's ability to sustain large-scale commitments across Africa may be limited, especially given ongoing engagements elsewhere and the economic impact of Western sanctions. Marten (2019) argues that Russia's engagement in Africa, while strategically important, remains relatively low-cost compared to its commitments in other regions.

Competing priorities, such as the ongoing conflict in Ukraine and tensions with NATO, may divert resources and attention from African initiatives. This could limit Russia's ability to compete with more economically powerful actors like China in the long term.

Competition from other global powers

China, in particular, has a significant head start in terms of economic engagement with Africa, presenting a challenge to Russia's ambitions on the continent. Mlambo, Kushamba and Simawu (2016) provide a com-

parative analysis of Chinese and Russian strategies in Africa, highlighting China's more comprehensive and resource-intensive approach. Other emerging powers, such as Turkey, India, and Gulf states, are also increasing their presence in Africa, creating a more crowded and competitive environment for influence.

Implications for global geopolitics

The evolving dynamics of international engagement in Africa present significant implications for the global geopolitical order. This section examines four key dimensions of these changes: the emergence of multipolar dynamics, the transformation of traditional spheres of influence, the intensification of great power relations, and the resultant opportunities and challenges for African states. Through analysis of these interconnected elements, this examination provides insight into how regional developments contribute to broader shifts in contemporary international relations.

Shift towards multipolarity

Russia's engagement in Africa contributes to the erosion of Western-dominated unipolarity, fostering a more multipolar global order. Allard and Masuhr (2022) argue that Russia's Africa strategy is part of a broader effort to reshape the international system and challenge Western hegemony. This shift has several implications:

1. Challenges to established norms and institutions of the liberal international order.

2. Increased complexity in global governance and decision-making.

3. Potential for new forms of international cooperation and coalition-building.

Impact on Western influence in Africa

The increased Russian presence challenges traditional Western partnerships and influence across the continent. This may lead to:

1. A reevaluation of Western approaches to engagement with African nations.

2. Potential for increased competition in areas such as development aid, security cooperation, and economic investment.

3. Shifts in African nations' foreign policy orientations and alliance structures.

Potential for great power competition

Africa may become a theater for intensified competition among global powers, raising the risk of proxy conflicts and economic rivalries. Siegel (2023) warns of the potential for democratic backsliding in some African countries as competing powers prioritize strategic interests over governance concerns. This competition could manifest in:

1. Diplomatic maneuvering for influence in regional organizations and international forums.

2. Economic competition, particularly in strategic sectors like energy and rare earth minerals.

3. Increased military presence and security engagements by various powers.

Opportunities and risks for African Nations

African countries may benefit from the increased competition for their resources and strategic partnerships, but they also face the risk of exploitation and neocolonial dynamics. Handy and Djilo (2022) highlight the diverse responses of African nations to increased great power competition, noting that many seek to balance relationships with multiple partners. Potential outcomes include:

1. Challenges to governance and sovereignty as external powers seek to influence domestic affairs.

2. Increased bargaining power for African nations in negotiations with global powers.

3. Opportunities for economic diversification and infrastructure development.

4. Risks of becoming caught in geopolitical rivalries or proxy conflicts.

Conclusion

This concluding analysis synthesizes the multidimensional aspects of Russia's strategic engagement in Africa through theoretical frameworks and empirical observations. The section examines four key elements: the theoretical implications for contemporary international relations, a systematic review of principal findings, an assessment of future trajectories, and identification of critical areas for subsequent research. Through this structured examination, the analysis provides comprehensive insights into the evolving nature of global power dynamics and their manifestation in regional contexts.

The kaleidoscope of power: Russia's African gambit in a multipolar world

Russia's strategic pivot to Africa represents a fascinating case study in the evolving dynamics of 21st-century international relations. Through the multifaceted theoretical lens we've applied – incorporating neorealism, constructivism, neo-imperialism, soft power, and geoeconomics – we can appreciate the complexity and nuance of Moscow's engagement with the African continent.

Like a kaleidoscope shifting its patterns, Russia's approach in Africa reveals the intricate interplay of power, identity, and economic interests in shaping global affairs. The neorealist pursuit of power balancing and security maximization is evident in Russia's efforts to counteract Western influence and secure strategic resources. Yet, this material-focused perspective alone fails to capture the full picture.

The constructivist elements of Russia's strategy — its emphasis on shared anti-colonial narratives and the cultivation of a great power identity — highlight the enduring importance of ideas and norms in international relations. Moscow's invocation of historical ties and its positioning as an alternative to Western partnerships demonstrate the power of narrative in shaping geopolitical alignments.

Through the neo-imperialist lens, we observe a modern twist on age-old patterns of resource extraction and spheres of influence. However, Russia's approach differs from historical imperialism, relying more on economic leverage, private military contractors, and strategic partnerships than direct territorial control. This nuanced strategy reflects the constraints and opportunities of the contemporary global order.

The soft power and public diplomacy aspects of Russia's engagement — from educational exchanges to media campaigns — underscore the multidimensional nature of influence in the information age. In an era where perceptions can shape reality, the battle for hearts and minds is as crucial as any material gain.

Geoeconomics emerges as a critical component of Russia's African strategy, illustrating how economic instruments are increasingly wielded for geopolitical ends. Energy partnerships, infrastructure projects, and the strategic use of state-owned enterprises blur the lines between economics and politics, challenging traditional notions of power projection.

As we look to the future, Russia's pivot to Africa raises profound questions about the nature of global order in a multipolar world. Will this engagement lead to a more balanced international system, as proponents of multipolarity argue? Or will it result in a new scramble for Africa, with competing powers vying for influence at the expense of African agency and development?

The implications extend beyond Africa and Russia. This case study offers insights into how middle powers and emerging economies might navigate an international system in flux. It challenges us to reconsider traditional dichotomies between Global North and South, East and West, and to envision new forms of international cooperation and competition.

Ultimately, Russia's strategic pivot to Africa serves as a microcosm of the broader shifts reshaping our world. It reminds us that in the complex tapestry of global affairs, power is multifaceted, identities are fluid, and the pursuit of national interests is ever-evolving. As scholars and policymakers grapple with these dynamics, they would do well to embrace theoretical pluralism, recognizing that no single perspective can fully capture the kaleidoscopic nature of 21st-century international relations.

As Africa becomes an increasingly important theater in global politics, the continent's own agency and aspirations must not be overlooked. The success or failure of Russia's strategy — and indeed, the engagement of all external powers — will ultimately depend on how well it aligns with the diverse needs and ambitions of African nations themselves.

Accordingly, Russia's African gambit offers a compelling window into the future of global power dynamics. It challenges us to think beyond traditional paradigms and to appreciate the intricate dance of material and ideational factors in shaping our world. As we move deeper into an era of uncertainty and transformation, such nuanced understanding will be crucial

for navigating the complexities of international relations in the decades to come.

Summary of key findings

Russia's strategic pivot to Africa is driven by a complex interplay of economic, geopolitical, and resource-related motivations. Moscow employs a multifaceted approach, combining economic cooperation, military assistance, diplomatic outreach, and infrastructure development to expand its influence on the continent. Key findings include:

1. Economic imperatives, particularly in the wake of Western sanctions, have accelerated Russia's pivot towards Africa.

2. Military cooperation and arms sales serve as a key pillar of Russia's influence strategy in Africa.

3. Russia's approach is characterized by targeted, high-impact interventions rather than broad-based engagement across the continent.

4. Russia's engagement in Africa is part of a broader strategy to challenge Western global dominance and promote a multipolar world order.

Russia's engagement in Africa is a critical component of its broader strategy to challenge Western dominance and promote a multipolar world order. This approach reflects the changing dynamics of global power and the increasing importance of the Global South in international affairs.

Future prospects for Russia-Africa relations

While there are significant opportunities for deepening Russia-Africa relations, challenges such as Western counterstrategies, regional instability, and competition from other global powers must be navigated. The future of Russia's engagement in Africa will likely depend on its ability to sustain long-term commitments and adapt to changing regional dynamics.

This study highlights the importance of understanding the dynamics of emerging multipolarity and the evolving strategies of major powers in regions like Africa. It challenges traditional Western-centric models of international relations and emphasizes the need for more nuanced approaches to analyzing global power dynamics.

Recommendations for further research

Future research should explore:

1. The effectiveness of Russian soft power initiatives in shaping African perceptions and alignments.

2. The implications of technological cooperation between Russia and African nations, particularly in areas such as cybersecurity and digital infrastructure.

3. The long-term impacts of Russia's engagement on African political systems and economic development.

4. The potential for cooperation or conflict between Russia and other non-Western powers in Africa.

In conclusion, Russia's strategic pivot to Africa represents a significant development in contemporary international relations. As the global order continues to evolve towards multipolarity, understanding the motivations, strategies, and implications of Russia's engagement in Africa will be crucial for policymakers, scholars, and stakeholders seeking to navigate the complexities of 21st-century geopolitics.

Appendix A: Timeline of key events in Russia-Africa relations

This timeline provides a chronological overview of significant events in the development of Russia's engagement with Africa from the Soviet era to the present day.

- 1960s-1980s: Soviet Union's active engagement in Africa during the Cold War.

- 1991: Collapse of the Soviet Union and subsequent decline in Russia-Africa relations.

- 2000: Russia-Africa Forum in Moscow, marking renewed interest.

- 2006: Putin's visit to South Africa, the first by a Russian leader.

- 2009: Dmitry Medvedev's tour of Egypt, Nigeria, Namibia, and Angola.

- 2013: Russia writes off $20 billion in African debt.

- 2017: Russia signs nuclear power agreements with several African countries.

- 2018: Central African Republic allows Russian military trainers.

- 2019: First Russia-Africa Summit in Sochi.

- 2022: Russia-Ukraine conflict impacts Russia-Africa relations.

- 2023: Second Russia-Africa Summit in St. Petersburg.

Appendix B: Academic tables:

Table 1: Russia-Africa trade volume (2010-2023)

Year	Trade Volume (Billion USD)	Year-over-Year Growth (%)
2010	5.62	-
2011	7.25	29.0
2012	9.41	29.8
2013	11.02	17.1
2014	12.45	13.0
2015	11.12	-10.7
2016	12.01	8.0
2017	14.78	23.1
2018	17.56	18.8
2019	20.03	14.1
2020	14.35	-28.4
2021	17.62	22.8
2022	18.04	2.4
2023	18.32	1.6

Source: Russian Federal Customs Service 2023.

Table 2: Top 5 African trading partners for Russia (2023)

Rank	Country	Trade Volume (Billion USD)	Main Exports to Russia	Main Imports from Russia
1	Egypt	4.62	Agricultural products	Wheat, arms
2	Algeria	3.15	Natural gas	Wheat, arms
3	Morocco	2.48	Phosphates	Petroleum products
4	South Africa	1.95	Precious metals, fruits	Fertilizers, wheat
5	Nigeria	1.42	Cocoa, rubber	Wheat, fertilizers

Source: Russian Ministry of Economic Development 2023.

Table 3: Sectoral breakdown of Russian investments in Africa (2023)

Sector	Investment (Billion USD)	Percentage of Total
Energy and Mining	7.25	42.3%
Arms and Military Tech	3.84	22.4%
Infrastructure	2.56	14.9%
Agriculture	1.72	10.0%
Telecommunications	0.95	5.5%
Other	0.84	4.9%
Total	17.16	100%

Source: Russian Direct Investment Fund 2023.

Table 4: Russian Arms Exports to Africa

Region	Major Systems	Notable Trends
North Africa	• Su-30MKA fighters (Algeria) • T-90 tanks • S-400 air defense systems	• Largest regional recipient of Russian arms • Approximately 75% of African imports
East Africa	• Mi-35 helicopters • T-72 tanks • Air defense equipment	• Growing market share • Focus on counter-insurgency equipment
West Africa	• Mi-17 transports helicopters • Small arms • Patrol vessels	• Smaller but consistent market • Emphasis on maritime security
Southern Africa	• Su-30K fighters (Angola) • Military transport aircraft • Training systems	• Declining share since 2010 • Focus on modernization of Soviet-era equipment

Source: Hussain 2024.

Table 5: Comparison of major powers' engagement in Africa (2023)

Aspect	Russia	China	United States	European Union
Trade Volume (USD)	18.32 billion	254 billion	64.3 billion	295 billion
Primary Focus	Energy, arms, minerals	Infrastructure, resources	Security, development	Trade, development
Military Presence	Limited, Private Military Companies	Growing, first base	Extensive (AFRICOM)	Training missions
Development Aid	Limited, mostly technical	Extensive loans	Large grants	Largest donor
Diplomatic Approach	Non-interference	Win-win cooperation	Democracy promotion	Normative power
Soft Power Strategy	Education, media	Cultural centers	Exchange programs	Education, culture

Sources: Droin, Mathieu, and Tina Dolbaia 2023.

Table 6: African voting patterns on UN resolutions related to Russian actions (2022-2023)

Resolution	In Favor	Against	Abstain	Absent
Condemning Russian invasion of Ukraine	28	1	17	8
Suspending Russia from Human Rights Council	9	9	23	13
Territorial integrity of Ukraine	30	1	15	8
Russian reparations to Ukraine	12	5	30	7

Source: United Nations voting records 2022-2023.

Table 7: Key Russian institutions in Africa policy

Institution	Type	Primary Role in Africa
Ministry of Foreign Affairs	Government	Diplomatic relations, policy formulation
Ministry of Defense	Government	Military cooperation, arms sales
Rosatom	State Corporation	Nuclear energy projects
Rosneft	State-owned Company	Oil and gas exploration
Gazprom	State-owned Company	Natural gas projects
Wagner Group	Private Military Company	Security services, military training
Russian Direct Investment Fund	Sovereign Wealth Fund	Investment in African projects

Table 8: Theoretical framework application

Theory	Key Concepts	Application to Russia-Africa Relations
Neorealism	Power balancing, security maximization	Russia's efforts to counterbalance Western influence
Constructivism	Identity, norms, shared narratives	Emphasis on anti-colonial solidarity, alternative to West
Neo-imperialism	Resource extraction, spheres of influence	Russia's focus on resource-rich countries, use of Private Military Companies
Soft Power	Cultural influence, public diplomacy	Educational exchanges, media outreach (RT, Sputnik)
Geoeconomics	Economic tools for geopolitical ends	Energy partnerships, strategic use of debt forgiveness

REFERENCES

Abramova, I. 2024. The second Russia-Africa summit and beyond: Rapprochement is strategic, not tactical. *Security Index Yearbook*. https://russiancouncil.ru/en/analytics-and-comments/comments/the-second-russia-africa-summit-and-beyond-rapprochement-is-strategic-not-tactical/

Allard, A., and Masuhr, N. 2022. Russia's return to Africa in a historical and global context: Anti-imperialism, patronage, and opportunism. *South African*

Journal on International Affairs, 29(4), 410-431. https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2022.2051582

Droin, Mathieu, and Tina Dolbaia. 2023. "Russia Is Still Progressing in Africa. What's the Limit?" *Center for Strategic & International Studies*. https://www.csis.org/analysis/russia-still-progressing-africa-whats-limit.

Duursma, A., and Masuhr, N. 2020. Russia's forays into Sub-Saharan Africa. *Institute for Security Studies*. European Union Brief, 6. https://www.issafrica.org/reports/russias-forays-into-sub-saharan-africa

Gopaldas, R. 2023. Will the invasion of Ukraine change Russia-Africa relations? *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*. https://carnegieendowment.org/2023/04/01/will-invasion-of-ukraine-change-russia-africa-relations-pub-88589

Handy, P.-S., and Djilo, F. 2022. Unpacking Africa's divided stance on the Ukraine war. *Institute for Security Studies*. https://issafrica.org/research/policy-brief/unpacking-africas-divided-stance-on-the-ukraine-war

Harvey, D. 2003. *The new imperialism*. Oxford University Press.

Huntington, S. P. 1993. The clash of civilizations? *Foreign Affairs*, 72(3), 22-49. https://doi.org/10.2307/20045621

Hussain, Zain. 2024. "Russian Arms Exports to the Middle East and North Africa." *Manara Magazine*. July 19, 2024. https://manaramagazine.org/2024/07/russian-arms-exports-to-the-middle-east-and-north-africa/.

Ikenberry, G. J. 2001. *After victory: Institutions, strategic restraint, and the rebuilding of order after major wars*. Princeton University Press.

Keohane, R. O., and Nye, J. S. 1977. *Power and interdependence: World politics in transition*. Little, Brown and Company.

Krasner, S. D. 1982. "Structural causes and regime consequences: Regimes as intervening variables". *International Organization*, 36(2), 185-205. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300018920

Luttwak, E. N. 1990. "From geopolitics to geo-economics: Logic of conflict, grammar of commerce". *The National Interest*, (20), 17-23.

Marcum, J. A., Burke III, E., and Clough, M. W. (Eds.). 2018. *Conceiving Mozambique*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Marten, K. 2019. "Russia's back in Africa: Is the Cold War returning?". *The Washington Quarterly*, 42(4), 155-170. https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2019.1691677

Matusevich, M. 2009. "Revisiting the Soviet moment in Sub-Saharan Africa". *History Compass*, 7(6), 1259-1268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-0542.2009.00601.x

Mearsheimer, J. J. 2001. *The tragedy of great power politics*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Mishra, A. 2023. "Russia's low-risk, high-reward strategy for its return to Africa". *Observer Research Foundation*. https://www.orfonline.org/research/russias-low-risk-high-reward-strategy-for-its-return-to-africa

Mlambo, N., Kushamba, K., and Simawu, P. 2016. "China and Russia in Africa: A comparative analysis". *African Journal of Political Science*, 11(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJPS2016.0521

Nye, J. S. 2004. *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. Public Affairs.

Olivier, M. 2021. "CAR: Who are President Touadera's Russian guardian angels?". *The Africa Report*. https://www.theafricareport.com/101788/car-who-are-president-touaderas-russian-guardian-angels/

Racz, A. 2020. "Band of brothers: The Wagner Group and the Russian state". *Center for Strategic & International Studies,* September 21, 2020. https://www.csis.org/analysis/band-brothers-wagner-group-and-russian-state

Ramani, S. 2021. Russia's strategy in the Central African Republic. *Royal United Services Institute,* February 12, 2021. https://rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/commentary/russias-strategy-central-african-republic

Siegel, J. 2023. Intervening to undermine democracy in Africa: Russia's playbook for influence. *Africa Center for Strategic Studies*, February 22, 2023 https://africacenter.org/spotlight/intervening-to-undermine-democracy-in-africa-russias-playbook-for-influence/

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. 2022. *SIPRI arms transfer database*. https://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers

Strange, S. 1988. *States and markets*. Pinter Publishers.

Taddeo, M., and Floridi, L. 2023. The ethics of cybersecurity. In The Cambridge Handbook of Information and Computer Ethics (1-24). *Cambridge University Press*. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316413482.001

Trickett, N. 2017. Mozambique and Rosneft's hunt for foreign policy pull. *Global Risk Insights*. https://globalriskinsights.com/2017/07/mozambique-rosnefts-hunt-foreign-policy-pull/

"One year of the Black Sea Initiative: Key facts and figures". 2023. *United Nations News*. https://news.un.org/en/story/2023/07/1138532

United Nations. 2022. Aggression against Ukraine. *United Nations General Assembly*. https://undocs.org/en/A/RES/ES-11/1

Waltz, K. N. 1979. *Theory of international politics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Weiss, A. S., and Rumer, E. 2019. Nuclear enrichment: Russia's ill-fated influence campaign in South Africa. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*. November 28, 2019. https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2019/12/nuclear-enrichment-russias-ill-fated-influence-campaign-in-south-africa?lang=en

Wendt, A. 1999. *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.

Yordaniov, R. A. 2012. *Soviet involvement in Ethiopia and Somalia 1947-1991* (PhD diss., University of Oxford). https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:0f9a9b9b-2d7e-4c5c-9f5d-8e5e5c2f7d2a

Zetter, K. 2014. *Countdown to zero day: Stuxnet and the launch of the world's first digital weapon*. Crown Publishing Group.

Zubok, V. M. 2022. *A failed empire: The Soviet Union in the Cold War from Stalin to Gorbachev*. University of North Carolina Press.

Zubok, V. M. 2023. The Ukraine war and Russia's vision for great power projection in Africa. In *Russia in Africa: Resurgent Great Power or Bellicose Pretender?*, 1-15. Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197744598.003.0011

ABSTRACT

This study examines Russia's strategic pivot to Africa, analyzing the motivations, approaches, and implications of Moscow's renewed engagement with the continent. Utilizing a multifaceted theoretical framework that incorporates neorealism, constructivism, neo-imperialism, soft power, and geoeconomics, the research explores how Russia's African strategy reflects broader shifts in global power dynamics. The study investigates Russia's economic cooperation, military assistance, diplomatic outreach, and infrastructure development initiatives in Africa, using case studies from Sudan, the Central African Republic, and North Africa. It considers the challenges and limitations of Russia's approach, aswell as the potential impacts on Western influence, African agency, and the emerging multipolar world order. The research concludes that Russia's engagement in Africa is part of a larger strategy to challenge Western dominance and promote multipolarity, with significant implications for international relations theory and practice.

KEYWORDS

Russia-Africa relations. Multipolarity. Geopolitics. Soft power. Neo-imperialism. Geoeconomics. Great Power competition.

Received on September 12, 2024
Accepted on October 24, 2024[2]

2 How to cite: Badawi, Habib. 2024. "Russia's strategic pivot to Africa: geopolitical implications in a multipolar world". *Brazilian Journal of African Studies* 9 (18), 10-38. https://doi.org/10.22456/2448-3923.142484.