

THE RISE OF RIGHT-WING POPULISM IN THE EUROPEAN UNION: CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES

Adrian Cuevas Talens¹

Introduction

In recent years, right-wing populism has gained considerable traction across Europe, with populist parties achieving unprecedented electoral success in several EU member states. The rise of these movements has sparked widespread debate among scholars, policymakers, and the public. This paper investigates the multifaceted reasons behind the ascent of right-wing populism, its defining characteristics, and its potential impact on the future of the EU.

The rise of right-wing populism in the EU can be traced back to a series of socio-economic and political developments that have unfolded over the last few decades. The financial crisis of 2008, the refugee crisis of 2015, and the ongoing debates about national sovereignty and EU integration have all contributed to the growing appeal of populist rhetoric. Right-wing populist parties have capitalized on public discontent, presenting themselves as champions of the “common people” against the “corrupt elites” and external threats such as immigration.

Research Objectives

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the rise of right-wing populism in the EU by addressing the following objectives:

1. Identify the main factors contributing to the rise of right-wing populism in the EU.

¹ Tamkang University, Tamsui, Taiwan. E-mail: acuevastalens@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1062-2451>.

2. Examine the characteristics and strategies of right-wing populist parties.
3. Assess the impact of right-wing populism on EU integration and democratic values.
4. Explore the implications of right-wing populism for the future of the EU.

Structure of the Paper

This paper is structured as follows: the next section provides a detailed literature review, defining right-wing populism and examining its causes and consequences. This is followed by a methodology section outlining the research approach. The analysis section then delves into the economic, cultural, and political factors driving right-wing populism, supported by extensive case studies from various EU member states. The discussion section considers the broader implications of these findings for EU integration and democracy. Finally, the paper concludes with a summary of key points and suggestions for future research.

Literature Review

Right-wing populism is characterized by its opposition to the political establishment, emphasis on national sovereignty, and often xenophobic or anti-immigration rhetoric. Mudde (2007) defines populism as a “thin-centered ideology” that separates society into “the pure people” versus “the corrupt elite.” Right-wing populists combine this ideology with nativism and authoritarianism, advocating for policies that prioritize the interests of native-born citizens over those of immigrants and minorities (Mudde & Kaltwasser, 2012).

The rise of right-wing populism in the EU can be attributed to a combination of economic disparities, cultural backlash, and political disillusionment. Economic factors, such as the financial crisis of 2008 and subsequent austerity measures, have exacerbated social inequalities and fueled anti-establishment sentiments (Kriesi & Pappas, 2015). Cultural factors, including fears over immigration and multiculturalism, have also played a significant role (Golder, 2016). Additionally, the perceived democratic deficit within the EU institutions has contributed to growing skepticism towards the EU (Taggart & Szczerbiak, 2004).

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative approach, analyzing literature, election data, and case studies of right-wing populist parties across various EU member states. It aims to identify patterns and impacts of these movements on EU politics.

Data Collection

Data was collected from a range of sources to ensure a thorough understanding of right-wing populism in the EU. Academic journals provided theoretical frameworks and empirical findings, with key contributions from *European Political Science Review*, *Journal of Common Market Studies*, and *West European Politics*. Books like Cas Mudde's *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe* and Jan-Werner Müller's *What is Populism?* offered comprehensive analyses and historical perspectives on populist movements.

Policy reports from institutions such as the European Policy Centre, Chatham House, and Bertelsmann Stiftung supplied current analyses of political trends and the practical effects of populism on governance and EU integration. Electoral data from national and EU elections, sourced from official results and databases like the European Election Database and IDEA, facilitated quantitative analysis of voting patterns and populist support.

Case studies of right-wing populist movements in Hungary, Poland, Italy, Germany, and the UK provided in-depth examinations of their historical context, political landscapes, and specific characteristics. These included studies of Viktor Orbán's Fidesz party in Hungary, the Law and Justice Party (PiS) in Poland, Lega in Italy, the Alternative for Germany (AfD), and UKIP in the UK, focusing on their impact on democratic institutions and EU policies.

By integrating these diverse sources, the study offers a nuanced and comprehensive understanding of right-wing populism in the EU.

Factors for the rise of the right in Europe ollection

Economic insecurity is one of the most critical drivers of right-wing populism in the EU. The 2008 financial crisis and the subsequent recession had a profound impact on European societies, leading to high unemployment

rates, especially among the youth, and increasing poverty levels. The austerity measures implemented by several EU governments further exacerbated social inequalities, creating fertile ground for populist movements.

Right-wing populist parties have capitalized on this economic discontent by blaming the economic hardships on globalization, EU policies, and immigration. They argue that traditional political parties have failed to protect the economic interests of the “common people” and have instead prioritized the interests of elites and foreign entities.

Cultural concerns, particularly regarding immigration and national identity, have also fueled the rise of right-wing populism. The refugee crisis of 2015 heightened fears of cultural dilution and security threats, which populist parties have exploited to garner support. The framing of immigration as a threat to national identity and economic stability has resonated with many voters (Betz, 2019).

Right-wing populist parties often emphasize the need to preserve national culture, traditions, and values, presenting themselves as defenders of the national identity against the perceived threats posed by immigrants and multiculturalism. This cultural backlash is particularly pronounced in countries with significant immigration flows, where populist parties argue that immigrants are eroding the social fabric and cultural homogeneity of the nation.

Political disillusionment and distrust in traditional political institutions have been pivotal in the rise of right-wing populism. Many citizens feel disconnected from the EU’s decision-making processes, perceiving a lack of transparency and accountability. Populist parties have capitalized on these sentiments by positioning themselves as defenders of national sovereignty against an overreaching EU (De Vries & Edwards, 2009).

The perceived democratic deficit within the EU institutions has also contributed to the rise of Euroscepticism, with populist parties arguing that the EU is an undemocratic and bureaucratic institution that undermines national sovereignty. This sentiment is particularly strong in countries where there is a perception that EU policies are imposed without adequate consideration of national interests and public opinion.

Consequences of Right-Wing Populism

The rise of right-wing populism poses several challenges to the European Union (EU). These include potential threats to democratic norms, increased nationalism, and challenges to EU integration and cohesion. The

Brexit referendum and the success of parties like the Alternative for Germany (AfD) and Italy's Lega illustrate the growing influence of populist movements on national and EU-wide politics (Hobolt, 2016).

Right-wing populism often undermines democratic norms by promoting governance styles that favor authoritarianism, reducing checks and balances, and eroding the independence of key institutions. Populist leaders frequently attack the judiciary, media, and other democratic institutions, claiming that these entities are controlled by corrupt elites and do not represent the will of the people. This erosion of democratic norms can lead to significant shifts in how democratic processes function and are perceived by the public. Mudde (2007) argues that populist movements often thrive on the idea of a monolithic people versus a corrupt elite. This dichotomy can lead to the delegitimization of opposition voices and critical institutions.

In Hungary, Prime Minister Viktor Orbán and his Fidesz party have systematically dismantled democratic institutions. Since coming to power in 2010, Orbán's government has passed laws to centralize power, curtail judicial independence, and restrict press freedom (Batory, 2016). The Hungarian government has also used state resources to promote its agenda and suppress dissent, undermining the principles of democratic governance. Similarly, in Poland, the Law and Justice Party (PiS) has pursued policies that undermine judicial independence and concentrate power. The party's reforms of the judiciary have sparked significant domestic and international criticism, with many arguing that these changes threaten the rule of law in Poland (Sadurski, 2019). The EU has initiated proceedings against Poland for these actions, highlighting the tension between national sovereignty and EU principles of democracy and rule of law.

The rise of right-wing populism is closely associated with a surge in nationalism. Populist parties often emphasize national sovereignty and identity, advocating for policies that prioritize the interests of the native-born population over those of immigrants and minority groups. This increased nationalism can lead to xenophobia, anti-immigration policies, and a general climate of exclusion and intolerance. Increased nationalism also manifests in economic policies that favor protectionism and oppose globalization, which populist parties argue harms national industries and workers.

The Brexit referendum is a prime example of how increased nationalism can have far-reaching consequences. The Leave campaign successfully framed the EU as a threat to British sovereignty and identity, arguing that leaving the EU would allow the UK to "take back control" of its laws, borders, and economy (Clarke, Goodwin, & Whiteley, 2017). The success of the Brexit referendum has not only led to the UK's departure from the EU

but has also emboldened other Eurosceptic movements across the continent. The rise of Eurosceptic parties like the AfD in Germany and Lega in Italy further illustrates the growing influence of nationalism in European politics.

The success of right-wing populist parties poses significant challenges to EU integration and cohesion. Populist parties often oppose deeper integration and advocate for the repatriation of powers from Brussels to national governments. This opposition complicates efforts to achieve greater political and economic unity within the EU. For example, the AfD's platform includes strong opposition to the euro and calls for a referendum on Germany's EU membership (Lees, 2018). Similarly, Lega has criticized the EU's economic policies and advocated for greater national control over fiscal and monetary policy (Albertazzi, Giovannini, & Seddone, 2018).

The rise of right-wing populism also threatens the cohesion of the EU by fostering divisions between member states. Populist parties often frame the EU as an elitist project that serves the interests of a few powerful countries at the expense of others. This narrative can deepen existing divisions within the EU, making it more difficult to achieve consensus on critical issues such as migration, economic policy, and climate change. The Visegrád Group countries (Poland, Hungary, Czech Republic, and Slovakia), for instance, have frequently clashed with other EU members over issues such as migration policy and the rule of law, driven in part by the influence of right-wing populism in their domestic politics (Vachudova, 2019).

The rise of right-wing populism presents a complex set of challenges to the EU, threatening democratic norms, increasing nationalism, and complicating integration and cohesion efforts. The success of populist movements like the Brexit campaign, the AfD, and Lega highlights the growing influence of these forces on both national and EU-wide politics. Addressing these challenges will require a multifaceted approach that includes strengthening democratic institutions, promoting social cohesion, and fostering greater solidarity among EU member states.

Democratic Implications

The rise of right-wing populism also raises concerns about democratic backsliding. Populist governments in countries like Hungary and Poland have implemented policies that undermine judicial independence, restrict media freedom, and weaken democratic institutions. These actions threaten the democratic values upon which the EU is founded (Batory, 2016).

Right-wing populism often manifests in an erosion of the rule of law,

as populist leaders seek to consolidate power by weakening the checks and balances that are essential to a functioning democracy. In Hungary, Prime Minister Viktor Orbán's government has systematically dismantled the independence of the judiciary, bringing the courts under tighter political control. Since coming to power in 2010, Orbán's Fidesz party has passed laws that allow the government to appoint and promote judges, undermining judicial independence (Bánkuti, Halmai, & Scheppele, 2012). The government has also packed the Constitutional Court with loyalists, reducing its ability to act as a check on executive power.

Media freedom is another critical area where right-wing populist governments have made significant inroads. In Hungary, the Orbán government has used a combination of legal and financial measures to control the media landscape. State advertising has been directed towards pro-government outlets, while independent media have faced harassment and financial pressure. The establishment of a Media Council, staffed by government loyalists, has further tightened control over the press (Bajomi-Lázár, 2013). These actions have led to a significant decline in media pluralism and freedom, as noted by international watchdogs like Reporters Without Borders.

Poland has seen similar trends under the Law and Justice Party (PiS). Since coming to power in 2015, PiS has implemented a series of judicial reforms that have sparked widespread concern both domestically and internationally. These reforms include changes to the Constitutional Tribunal that undermine its independence, as well as measures that give the government greater control over the appointment and discipline of judges (Sadurski, 2019). These actions have led to protests and legal challenges, with the European Commission launching infringement procedures against Poland for violating EU law.

Media freedom in Poland has also been under threat. The PiS government has pursued a strategy of "repolonization" of the media, aiming to reduce foreign ownership and increase government influence. Public media have been transformed into government mouthpieces, with editorial lines closely aligned with PiS policies. Independent journalists and outlets face significant pressure, including legal harassment and economic challenges (Glowacki & Kus, 2019). These measures have contributed to a decline in media freedom and pluralism, similar to the situation in Hungary.

The actions of these populist governments threaten the democratic values upon which the EU is founded. The EU's response has included initiating Article 7 proceedings, which can ultimately lead to the suspension of a member state's voting rights in the Council of the EU. However, these

measures have had limited success in reversing the democratic backsliding in Hungary and Poland. The EU faces significant challenges in enforcing its democratic norms, particularly when member states are resistant to external pressure and enjoy domestic support for their populist agendas (Kelemen, 2017).

Democratic backsliding in Hungary and Poland also has broader implications for the EU. It undermines the EU's credibility as a promoter of democratic values and human rights both within and outside its borders. The erosion of democratic norms in member states can embolden other populist movements and leaders, leading to a potential contagion effect. This threatens the cohesion and stability of the EU, as democratic backsliding in one member state can have ripple effects across the Union (Rupnik, 2016).

In conclusion, the rise of right-wing populism raises significant concerns about democratic backsliding in the EU. The actions of populist governments in Hungary and Poland, including undermining judicial independence, restricting media freedom, and weakening democratic institutions, threaten the foundational democratic values of the EU. Addressing these challenges will require a concerted effort by the EU to uphold its principles, support democratic institutions, and ensure that member states adhere to the rule of law and respect for fundamental rights.

Impact on EU Integration

Right-wing populism has had a significant impact on EU integration efforts. Populist parties often oppose deeper integration and advocate for the repatriation of powers from Brussels to national governments. This opposition has complicated efforts to achieve greater political and economic unity within the EU (Hix, 2008).

One of the core tenets of right-wing populism is the emphasis on national sovereignty and the rejection of supranational governance structures. Populist parties argue that EU integration undermines national sovereignty and democratic accountability, positioning themselves as defenders of national interests against an overreaching EU bureaucracy. This stance resonates with voters who feel that their concerns are not adequately addressed by distant and seemingly unaccountable EU institutions.

The Brexit referendum serves as a prominent example of how right-wing populism can disrupt EU integration. The Leave campaign, led by figures such as Nigel Farage of the UK Independence Party (UKIP), framed the EU as a threat to British sovereignty and identity. The campaign's slogans, such as

“Take Back Control,” encapsulated the populist narrative that EU membership compromised national self-determination. The success of the referendum in 2016 led to the UK’s withdrawal from the EU, marking the first time a member state had left the Union (Hobolt, 2016). This event has had profound political, economic, and social implications, creating uncertainty and division within both the UK and the EU.

The rise of populist parties in other EU member states further illustrates the challenges to integration. In Germany, the Alternative for Germany (AfD) has gained significant electoral support by opposing the euro and advocating for a referendum on Germany’s EU membership. The AfD’s platform includes strong Eurosceptic positions, arguing that the EU imposes undue constraints on national sovereignty and economic policy (Lees, 2018). The party’s success has influenced the broader political discourse in Germany, pushing mainstream parties to adopt more critical stances towards the EU.

Similarly, in Italy, the Lega party, under the leadership of Matteo Salvini, has criticized the EU’s economic policies and advocated for greater national control over fiscal and monetary policy. Lega’s rise has been driven by public discontent with the EU’s handling of the eurozone crisis and the perceived negative impact of EU policies on Italy’s economy. The party’s Eurosceptic stance has resonated with voters who feel that EU membership has not delivered the promised economic benefits (Albertazzi, Giovannini, & Seddone, 2018).

The success of populist parties poses significant challenges to EU integration efforts by fostering divisions among member states. Populist parties often frame the EU as an elitist project that serves the interests of a few powerful countries at the expense of others. This narrative can deepen existing divisions within the EU, making it more difficult to achieve consensus on critical issues such as migration, economic policy, and climate change. The Visegrád Group countries (Poland, Hungary, Czech Republic, and Slovakia), for instance, have frequently clashed with other EU members over issues such as migration policy and the rule of law, driven in part by the influence of right-wing populism in their domestic politics (Vachudova, 2019).

The opposition of populist parties to deeper integration has also affected the EU’s ability to respond to crises effectively. For example, during the eurozone crisis, populist parties capitalized on public discontent with austerity measures imposed by the EU, arguing that these policies prioritized the interests of creditor nations over those of debtor countries. This narrative undermined support for EU solidarity and complicated efforts to implement cohesive and effective responses to the crisis (Bickerton, Hodson, & Puetter, 2015).

The rise of right-wing populism has also had implications for the EU's external relations. Populist parties often advocate for a more isolationist foreign policy, arguing that the EU should focus on protecting its own borders and interests rather than engaging in global governance and international cooperation. This stance can undermine the EU's efforts to promote stability and development beyond its borders, as well as its ability to address transnational challenges such as climate change and migration (Zielonka, 2018).

Right-wing populism has had a significant impact on EU integration efforts by opposing deeper integration and advocating for the repatriation of powers to national governments. The success of populist movements like the Brexit campaign, the AfD, and Lega highlights the growing influence of these forces on both national and EU-wide politics. Addressing these challenges will require a concerted effort by the EU to strengthen its democratic institutions, promote social cohesion, and foster greater solidarity among member states.

Case Study: Greece and the Golden Dawn

Greece experienced severe economic hardship during the financial crisis, resulting in high levels of unemployment and poverty. The far-right Golden Dawn party capitalized on these economic woes, gaining support by blaming immigrants and the EU for Greece's problems. Their anti-austerity and nationalist rhetoric resonated with many Greek citizens who felt betrayed by mainstream political parties (Ellinas, 2013).

The Greek financial crisis began in 2009 when the government disclosed a much higher budget deficit, leading to international bailouts with stringent austerity measures. These measures deepened economic hardship, causing widespread social unrest. Golden Dawn, marked by violent rhetoric and neo-Nazi symbolism, gained significant electoral support by positioning itself as a defender of Greek identity against external and internal threats.

Severe austerity measures led to increased poverty and unemployment, creating fertile ground for Golden Dawn's message. The party capitalized on public discontent, presenting itself as a nationalist alternative to mainstream parties. However, Golden Dawn's violent tactics eventually led to its downfall. In 2013, several members, including its leader, were arrested and charged with running a criminal organization, leading to convictions in 2020.

Despite the party's decline, its legacy continues to influence Greek politics, with other right-wing populist parties attempting to fill the void. Golden Dawn's rise and fall underscore the dangers of economic crises in

fueling extremist movements and highlight the need to address economic and social grievances to prevent such rises in the future.

Cultural Factors

Cultural concerns, particularly regarding immigration and national identity, have also fueled the rise of right-wing populism. The refugee crisis of 2015 heightened fears of cultural dilution and security threats, which populist parties have exploited to garner support. The framing of immigration as a threat to national identity and economic stability has resonated with many voters (Betz, 2019).

Right-wing populist parties often emphasize the need to preserve national culture, traditions, and values, presenting themselves as defenders of the national identity against the perceived threats posed by immigrants and multiculturalism. This cultural backlash is particularly pronounced in countries with significant immigration flows, where populist parties argue that immigrants are eroding the social fabric and cultural homogeneity of the nation.

Case Study: Sweden and the Sweden Democrats

The Sweden Democrats have successfully used cultural concerns to gain electoral support, emphasizing immigration as a threat to Swedish culture and values (Rydgren, 2018; Mudde, 2007). Their strong anti-immigration and anti-multiculturalism stance has resonated with voters who feel their cultural identity is under siege, particularly in areas with high immigration and perceived social tensions (Kitschelt & McGann, 1995).

Sweden's liberal immigration policies have led to significant inflows of refugees, creating tensions in some areas. The Sweden Democrats argue that immigration strains public services, increases crime rates, and threatens Swedish culture (Norris, 2005). Initially a fringe party, they have mainstreamed their message, focusing on welfare, security, and national identity, attracting a broader base of disillusioned voters (Rydgren, 2010).

Their strategic use of cultural narratives has resonated deeply with segments of the population, particularly in rural and suburban areas where the presence of immigrants is seen as more disruptive (Inglehart & Norris, 2016). The party has softened its extremist image to gain legitimacy, emphasizing welfare and security to attract voters sympathetic to their stance on immigration and cultural preservation (Eatwell & Goodwin, 2018).

The rise of the Sweden Democrats highlights the importance of cultural issues in political discourse and the need for mainstream parties to address voters' concerns about cultural and demographic changes without resorting to exclusionary tactics (Mudde & Rovira Kaltwasser, 2012).

In conclusion, the Sweden Democrats have effectively leveraged cultural concerns to gain electoral support, showing the significant role cultural factors play in the rise of right-wing populism in Europe. Their success underscores the importance of addressing cultural anxieties to promote inclusion and social harmony.

Case Study: Germany and the Alternative for Germany (AfD)

The AfD has capitalized on cultural anxieties related to immigration and national identity. The party's anti-immigration stance and criticism of Chancellor Angela Merkel's refugee policy have resonated with voters who feel threatened by cultural changes and economic competition from immigrants (Berbair, Lewandowsky, & Siri, 2015).

The AfD's rise can be traced back to its opposition to the EU's handling of the refugee crisis. The party has portrayed itself as a defender of German culture and sovereignty, appealing to voters who feel that their concerns about immigration and integration have been ignored by mainstream parties (Arzheimer, 2015). The AfD's electoral success, particularly in former East Germany, highlights the regional variations in support for right-wing populism and the importance of cultural factors in shaping political preferences (Hansen & Olsen, 2019).

Germany's decision to admit over a million refugees during the 2015 crisis was praised by some but also sparked significant backlash (Triadafilopoulos & Zaslove, 2017). The AfD has exploited this discontent by framing the refugee influx as a threat to national security, economic stability, and cultural identity. The party's rhetoric has resonated particularly well in areas with high levels of immigration and in economically disadvantaged regions, where concerns about competition for jobs and resources are more pronounced (Betz, 2018).

The AfD's success in recent elections has been marked by its ability to attract a broad coalition of supporters, including former non-voters, disillusioned supporters of mainstream parties, and individuals with far-right sympathies. The party's platform combines anti-immigration policies with Euroscepticism, social conservatism, and economic nationalism, appealing to a wide range of voters who feel alienated by the political establishment.

(Golder, 2016).

The party's rise is indicative of the broader cultural anxieties that have been brewing in Germany and other parts of Europe. The influx of refugees in 2015, many of whom came from predominantly Muslim countries, stoked fears about cultural integration and the potential erosion of traditional German values (Vieten & Poynting, 2016). The AfD has effectively used these fears to galvanize support, emphasizing the need to protect German culture and identity from perceived external threats (Oesch, 2008).

Furthermore, the AfD's success underscores the regional disparities in Germany, particularly between the former East and West Germany. In the East, where economic development has lagged and unemployment rates are higher, the AfD's message of nationalism and protectionism has found a particularly receptive audience. The economic frustrations of these regions have intertwined with cultural anxieties, creating a potent mix that the AfD has been able to exploit (Hansen & Olsen, 2019).

The AfD's critique of Merkel's refugee policy has been a central component of its platform. The party has consistently argued that the government's decision to admit a large number of refugees was misguided and has had negative consequences for Germany. This message has resonated with voters who feel that the refugee influx has strained public services, increased competition for jobs, and led to a rise in crime. By framing these issues in terms of national security and cultural preservation, the AfD has been able to appeal to a broad spectrum of voters concerned about the direction of the country (Arzheimer, 2015).

The party's Euroscepticism also plays a significant role in its appeal. The AfD criticizes the EU for overstepping its bounds and infringing on national sovereignty. This message has resonated with voters who feel that Germany's interests are not adequately represented in Brussels and that the EU imposes policies that are detrimental to the country. The AfD's call for a return to national sovereignty and control has struck a chord with those who are skeptical of further European integration and feel that Germany should prioritize its own interests (Mudde, 2007).

In conclusion, the AfD has effectively capitalized on cultural anxieties related to immigration and national identity, as well as economic frustrations and regional disparities. By framing immigration as a threat to national security, economic stability, and cultural identity, the party has been able to attract a broad coalition of supporters. The AfD's success highlights the importance of cultural factors in shaping political preferences and the complex interplay between cultural and economic grievances in driving support for right-wing populism.

Political Factors

Political disillusionment and distrust in traditional political institutions have been pivotal in the rise of right-wing populism. Many citizens feel disconnected from the EU's decision-making processes, perceiving a lack of transparency and accountability. Populist parties have capitalized on these sentiments by positioning themselves as defenders of national sovereignty against an overreaching EU (De Vries & Edwards, 2009).

The perceived democratic deficit within the EU institutions has also contributed to the rise of Euroscepticism, with populist parties arguing that the EU is an undemocratic and bureaucratic institution that undermines national sovereignty. This sentiment is particularly strong in countries where there is a perception that EU policies are imposed without adequate consideration of national interests and public opinion.

Case Study: Brexit and the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP)

The Brexit referendum is a prime example of political disillusionment leading to the rise of right-wing populism. UKIP, led by Nigel Farage, successfully framed the EU as a bureaucratic institution undermining British sovereignty. The party's campaign tapped into widespread frustration with traditional political elites and a desire to reclaim national control, ultimately contributing to the UK's decision to leave the EU (Goodwin & Milazzo, 2017).

UKIP's rise was fueled by its ability to channel public dissatisfaction with the political status quo into support for Brexit. The party's message of taking back control resonated with voters who felt that their voices were not being heard in Brussels. UKIP's success in the 2014 European Parliament elections and its role in the 2016 Brexit referendum highlight the importance of political factors in driving support for right-wing populism.

The Brexit campaign was marked by its ability to tap into a wide range of grievances, including concerns about immigration, economic inequality, and national sovereignty. UKIP and other Leave campaigners framed the EU as an undemocratic institution that imposed burdensome regulations and allowed uncontrolled immigration. This message resonated with voters who felt that their interests were being ignored by the political establishment.

UKIP's strategic messaging effectively capitalized on the sentiment

of national disenfranchisement. The party emphasized the need for the UK to regain control over its laws, borders, and finances, arguing that EU membership limited British autonomy. The slogan “Take Back Control” encapsulated this sentiment, appealing to voters’ desire for greater national sovereignty and self-determination. This narrative was particularly powerful in regions that felt economically neglected and culturally marginalized by the political elite.

The success of the Leave campaign and the subsequent decision to exit the EU have had profound political, economic, and social implications for the UK and the EU. The Brexit process has highlighted the deep divisions within British society and raised questions about the future of European integration. It has also emboldened other Eurosceptic movements across the continent, demonstrating that it is possible to challenge the status quo and achieve significant political change.

Brexit has not only altered the political landscape in the UK but also had a ripple effect throughout Europe. The decision to leave the EU has sparked debates about national sovereignty, the benefits and drawbacks of EU membership, and the future of the European project. In other EU member states, right-wing populist parties have used Brexit as a case study to argue for similar referendums and renegotiations of their relationship with the EU.

The aftermath of the Brexit vote has been characterized by significant political and economic uncertainty. Negotiations between the UK and the EU have been complex and contentious, highlighting the challenges of disentangling the UK from the EU’s legal and regulatory frameworks. This process has revealed the deep interdependencies that have developed over decades of integration and has raised concerns about the potential economic and social costs of Brexit.

The political landscape in the UK has also been transformed by Brexit. The referendum exposed and exacerbated existing divisions within British society, including those between urban and rural areas, young and old, and different socio-economic groups. These divisions have continued to influence British politics, contributing to ongoing debates about national identity, immigration, and the role of the UK on the global stage.

In conclusion, the Brexit referendum is a prime example of how political disillusionment can lead to the rise of right-wing populism. UKIP’s ability to channel public dissatisfaction with the political status quo and frame the EU as a bureaucratic institution undermining British sovereignty played a crucial role in the UK’s decision to leave the EU. The Brexit campaign’s success in tapping into a wide range of grievances has had profound political, economic, and social implications, both in the UK and across Europe,

highlighting the importance of addressing the underlying causes of political discontent to prevent the rise of populist movements.

Case Study: Italy and Lega

Italy's Lega, formerly Lega Nord, under Matteo Salvini's leadership, has transformed into a prominent right-wing populist force. The party's anti-immigration stance and Euroscepticism have resonated with many Italians, contributing to its electoral success. Lega's rise illustrates the significant impact of political disillusionment and economic grievances on the support for right-wing populism (Albertazzi & McDonnell, 2015).

Lega's shift from a regionalist to a nationalist party under Salvini's leadership has broadened its appeal to voters across Italy. The party's criticism of the EU's handling of immigration and its calls for greater national sovereignty have struck a chord with voters who feel that Italy's interests are not being adequately represented in Brussels. Lega's success in the 2018 general elections and its participation in the coalition government highlight the growing influence of right-wing populism in Italian politics.

Italy has faced significant economic challenges in recent years, including high levels of unemployment, particularly among the youth, and slow economic growth. These economic grievances have fueled public discontent and provided fertile ground for Lega's populist message. The party has also capitalized on public frustration with political corruption and inefficiency, presenting itself as a clean and effective alternative to the traditional parties.

Lega's anti-immigration stance has been a central element of its platform, appealing to voters who are concerned about the impact of immigration on Italian society. The party has framed immigration as a threat to national security, economic stability, and cultural identity, resonating with voters who feel that the government has failed to address these issues adequately.

Lega's rise to power has had significant implications for Italian politics and the EU. The party's participation in the coalition government has allowed it to influence national policy, including on issues such as immigration, economic reform, and EU relations. This has led to tensions with the EU, particularly over issues such as budgetary rules and immigration policy, highlighting the challenges of managing the relationship between national sovereignty and EU integration.

Synthesis of Findings

The analysis reveals that the rise of right-wing populism in the EU is driven by a complex interplay of economic, cultural, and political factors. Economic insecurity, cultural anxieties, and political disillusionment have all contributed to the appeal of populist parties. These factors are often interconnected, with economic hardships exacerbating cultural and political grievances.

The case studies of Greece, Spain, Sweden, Germany, the UK, and Italy illustrate how these factors play out in different national contexts, highlighting the importance of understanding the specific circumstances and dynamics that drive support for right-wing populism in each country. This nuanced understanding is crucial for developing effective policy responses to address the root causes of populism and mitigate its impact on EU integration and democratic values.

Discussion

The rise of right-wing populism in the EU presents both challenges and opportunities. While it threatens the EU's cohesion and democratic values, it also forces a re-evaluation of EU policies and governance structures. Addressing the root causes of populism, such as economic inequality and cultural insecurity, is crucial for the future stability of the EU.

Right-wing populism has had a significant impact on EU integration efforts. Populist parties often oppose deeper integration and advocate for the repatriation of powers from Brussels to national governments. This opposition has complicated efforts to achieve greater political and economic unity within the EU (Hix, 2008). The success of right-wing populist parties has led to increased calls for national sovereignty and a reduction in the powers of EU institutions, making it more difficult for the EU to implement policies that require member state cooperation and consensus. The rise of populism has also fueled Euroscepticism, with some parties advocating for their countries to leave the EU altogether (Hobolt, 2016).

The Brexit referendum is a clear example of how right-wing populism can disrupt EU integration efforts. The UK's decision to leave the EU has had significant political, economic, and social consequences, creating uncertainty and division within the Union. The rise of Eurosceptic parties in other member states poses a similar threat, as these parties often push for policies that undermine EU cohesion and solidarity.

The rise of right-wing populism also presents an opportunity for the EU to address its perceived democratic deficit and reconnect with its citizens. By increasing transparency, accountability, and responsiveness, the EU can counteract populist narratives and build greater public trust in its institutions. Reforms aimed at addressing economic inequality and improving social cohesion can also help to mitigate the appeal of populist parties (Schmidt, 2019).

The EU's response to the challenges posed by right-wing populism will be crucial in determining its future trajectory. By addressing the underlying causes of populism and demonstrating a commitment to democratic values and social justice, the EU can strengthen its legitimacy and resilience. This will require a comprehensive approach that involves both policy reforms and efforts to engage with citizens and address their concerns.

The rise of right-wing populism raises concerns about democratic backsliding. Populist governments in countries like Hungary and Poland have implemented policies that undermine judicial independence, restrict media freedom, and weaken democratic institutions. These actions threaten the democratic values upon which the EU is founded (Batory, 2016). Under the leadership of Viktor Orbán, Hungary's Fidesz party has exemplified this trend, implementing policies that centralize power and restrict civil liberties (Batory, 2016). The EU has initiated legal proceedings against Hungary for these violations, but the effectiveness of such measures has been limited.

Similarly, Poland's Law and Justice Party (PiS), led by Jaroslaw Kaczynski, has pursued a nationalist agenda that challenges EU norms on judicial independence and media freedom (Stanley, 2019). The EU's response has included threats of sanctions and legal action, highlighting the tension between national sovereignty and EU integration. However, the effectiveness of these measures has been limited, raising questions about the EU's ability to enforce democratic standards among its member states.

The broader implications of the rise of right-wing populism in the EU extend beyond immediate political and economic challenges. This phenomenon has the potential to reshape the EU's identity, influence its global standing, and alter the dynamics of international relations. The rise of right-wing populism challenges the EU's identity as a promoter of liberal democracy, human rights, and multiculturalism, potentially undermining its legitimacy and its role in global governance (Manners, 2002).

As right-wing populism gains ground within the EU, the Union's global standing may be affected. Internal divisions and the rise of Eurosceptic governments could compromise the EU's ability to act as a unified actor in international affairs, weakening its influence in global governance

and diminishing its capacity to address transnational challenges (Biscop, 2016). Populist parties often advocate for more nationalist and protectionist policies, which can lead to tensions with other countries and international organizations. This shift could impact the EU's relationships with other major powers and its efforts to promote collective action on global issues such as climate change, trade, and security.

Addressing the rise of right-wing populism requires a multifaceted approach that includes economic, social, and political measures. Economic policies should focus on reducing inequality and promoting inclusive growth to address economic grievances and reduce the appeal of populist parties (Rodrik, 2018). Social policies should aim to foster social cohesion and address cultural anxieties by promoting integration and inclusion of immigrants, combating discrimination, and strengthening community ties (Banting & Kymlicka, 2017). Political reforms should focus on enhancing democratic accountability and transparency, increasing citizen participation in decision-making processes, and addressing the perceived democratic deficit within the EU (Norris, 2011).

In conclusion, the ascent of right-wing populism in the EU is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon. By understanding its causes and implications, policymakers can develop strategies to counteract its negative effects and strengthen the EU's democratic foundations. Future research should focus on the long-term impact of right-wing populism on EU integration and the effectiveness of policy responses in mitigating its rise.

References

- Albertazzi, Daniele, and Duncan McDonnell. *Populists in Power*. Routledge, 2015.
- Banting, Keith, and Will Kymlicka. *The Strains of Commitment: The Political Sources of Solidarity in Diverse Societies*. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- Batory, Agnes. "Populists in Government? Hungary's 'System of National Cooperation'." *Democratization* 23, no. 2 (2016): 283-303.
- Betz, Hans-Georg. *The New Politics of Resentment: Radical Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe*. Springer, 2019.
- Biscop, Sven. *The EU Global Strategy: Realpolitik with European Characteristics*. Egmont Paper 82. Egmont Institute, 2016.
- De Vries, Catherine E., and Erica E. Edwards. "Taking Europe to Its Extremes:

- Extremist Parties and Public Euroscepticism." *Party Politics* 15, no. 1 (2009): 5-28.
- Ellinas, Antonis A. "The Rise of Golden Dawn: The New Face of the Far Right in Greece." *South European Society and Politics* 18, no. 4 (2013): 543-565.
- Golder, Matt. "Far Right Parties in Europe." *Annual Review of Political Science* 19 (2016): 477-497.
- Hix, Simon. *What's Wrong with the European Union and How to Fix It*. Polity, 2008.
- Hobolt, Sara B. "The Brexit Vote: A Divided Nation, a Divided Continent." *Journal of European Public Policy* 23, no. 9 (2016): 1259-1277.
- Inglehart, Ronald F., and Pippa Norris. "Trump, Brexit, and the Rise of Populism: Economic Have-Nots and Cultural Backlash." HKS Faculty Research Working Paper Series RWP16-026, 2016.
- Kriesi, Hanspeter, and Takis S. Pappas, eds. *European Populism in the Shadow of the Great Recession*. ECPR Press, 2015.
- Lavenex, Sandra. "The External Face of Differentiation: Third Country Participation in EU Sectoral Bodies." *Journal of European Public Policy* 25, no. 10 (2018): 1445-1458.
- Manners, Ian. "Normative Power Europe: A Contradiction in Terms?" *Journal of Common Market Studies* 40, no. 2 (2002): 235-258.
- Mudde, Cas. *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Mudde, Cas, and Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser. *Populism in Europe and the Americas: Threat or Corrective for Democracy?* Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- Norris, Pippa. *Democratic Deficit: Critical Citizens Revisited*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- Rodrik, Dani. *Straight Talk on Trade: Ideas for a Sane World Economy*. Princeton University Press, 2018.
- Rooduijn, Matthijs. "The Rise of the Populist Radical Right in Western Europe." *European View* 14, no. 1 (2015): 3-11.
- Rydgren, Jens, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of the Radical Right*. Oxford University Press, 2018.
- Schmidt, Vivien A. *Europe's Crisis of Legitimacy: Governing by Rules and Ruling by Numbers in the Eurozone*. Oxford University Press, 2019.
- Stanley, Ben. "Backsliding Away? The Quality of Democracy in Central and

Eastern Europe.” *Journal of Contemporary European Research* 15, no. 4 (2019): 419-431.

Turnbull-Dugarte, Stuart J. “Explaining the End of Spanish Exceptionalism and Electoral Support for Vox.” *Research & Politics* 6, no. 2 (2019): 1-8.

ABSTRACT

The rise of right-wing populism in the EU over the past two decades has reshaped European politics. This paper explores the causes, characteristics, and impacts of this trend, focusing on political, economic, and social factors. The 2008 financial crisis, the 2015 refugee crisis, and debates on sovereignty and EU integration have heightened the appeal of populist rhetoric. Right-wing populists frame themselves as defenders of “common people” against “corrupt elites” and immigration. Case studies from Greece, Spain, Sweden, Germany, the UK, and Italy highlight how these parties gained traction and their effects on EU cohesion and democratic values. Addressing these challenges involves strengthening democratic institutions and enhancing solidarity among EU member states.

KEYWORDS

Populism, European Union, EU integration

Received on July 25, 2024

Accepted on May 22, 2025