**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Viral hepatitis is a group of diseases that present high hepatotropism and are related to liver dysfunctions, having either an acute or a chronic course. Their worldwide epidemiology is diverse, with several endemic places, such as South America. The objective of this study was to analyze the epidemiology of viral hepatitis in Brazil, in order to better understand its pattern of distribution and evolution.

**Method:** A temporal aggregation study was conducted using the Viral Hepatitis Database of the Brazilian Ministry of Health. The serological markers used were HBsAg and anti-HCV for hepatitis B and C, respectively. Mortality data were collected from the Mortality Information System for deaths attributed to viral hepatitis. The period analyzed was from 2007 to 2016/17.

**Results:** The incidence was 7.88 (95% CI, 7.30-8.45) for hepatitis B and 11.9 (95% CI, 11.15-12.65) for hepatitis C. Mortality attributed to viral hepatitis was 1.61 (95% CI, 1.35-1.87) deaths per 100,000 people. An analysis of municipal distribution data showed several endemic areas. The Brazilian regions most affected by hepatitis B virus were the northern and southern borders, Santa Catarina coast and Espírito Santo state, while hepatitis C virus was mostly present in metropolitan areas such as Porto Alegre and São Paulo.

**Conclusions:** Viral hepatitis has a diverse geographic distribution in the Brazilian territory, with highly endemic areas. The distribution differs between hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses.
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Viral hepatitis is a group of diseases of universal distribution caused by different etiological agents. They share clinical and laboratory similarities, especially hepatotropism, but with differences in epidemiology and progressive course. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection affects around two billion people worldwide, of which 240-280 million are diagnosed with chronic hepatitis B. Among the consequences of its progression are the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, which are serious hepatic lesions of great clinical concern.

HBV transmission occurs by contact with infected blood and body fluids through reused or unsterilized medical/dental devices, tattooing and piercing, and illicit injecting drugs (when sharing needles and syringes). In addition, transmission can occur when personal objects, such as toothbrushes and nail clippers, are shared in a family context. There are several serologic markers available for HBV. HBsAg was the first marker, discovered in 1965, consisting of a viral surface antigen present in the acute phase of the disease and in its chronification. Negativity of this marker and appearance of its antibody – anti-HBs – are associated with non-chronification of the virus. Other markers used are anti-HBc IgM (early immunity) and IgG (immunological scar), HBeAg and anti-HBe. Viral load...
testing using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a commonly used method\textsuperscript{2-4}.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection affects approximately 150 million people worldwide. More than 350,000 cases per year progress to death due to the same serious liver diseases that occur with HBV\textsuperscript{7,12,13}. Risk groups include those who received blood transfusions and/or blood products prior to 1992, intravenous drug users, people with tattoos and piercings, HIV carriers, transplanted, hemodialyzed or hemophiliac patients, the incarcerated population and those who are sexually promiscuous\textsuperscript{2,10,14,15}.

The serological marker for HCV screening is total anti-HCV. This enzymatic marker commonly used in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is associated with false-positive results; therefore, when a result is positive, viral load testing with PCR must be performed to confirm the infection. However, due to financial limitations, anti-HCV is the marker of choice when screening patients for blood donation and organ transplantation\textsuperscript{16}.

The control of endemic-epidemic diseases remains a major challenge in the Brazilian setting. Socioeconomic heterogeneity, irregular distribution of health services, and unequal incorporation of advanced technology for diagnosis and treatment of diseases are important issues to be considered in the evaluation of the endemic-epidemic process of viral hepatitis\textsuperscript{17}. Therefore, epidemiological studies are essential for creating public strategies to combat and prevent the diseases affecting the population, especially if they are concentrated in a given region\textsuperscript{18}.

Thus, this study aimed to analyze Brazilian data on the epidemiology of HBV and HCV infections in different regions, based on data from 2007 to 2016/17, in addition to correlating incidence with mortality rates. Individual studies addressing each region could provide more information about regional settings and allow for specific actions implemented locally.

**METHOD**

**Design, Population, Sample**

A temporal aggregation study was retrospectively conducted using an exploratory and quantitative documentary approach. Data were obtained from the Brazilian Ministry of Health Notification Information System (SINAN) and Mortality Information System (SIM), both available from the DATASUS Health Information System\textsuperscript{19}. Demographic data for each year, age group and sex were obtained through the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE)\textsuperscript{20}.

The variables observed were the reactive serological results for HBsAg and total anti-HCV in the period of 2007 to 2017. Mortality consisted of the total number of confirmed deaths due to viral hepatitis (ICD 10: B15-B19), both in its acute and chronic forms, for the period of 2007 to 2016. The data collected were analyzed as divided by the 27 Brazilian states and the Federal District — a division recommended by IBGE. For a comprehensive analysis, the five administrative regions of Brazil — namely South, Southeast, Midwest, North and Northeast — were also studied. The sample was divided into years of death, sex and age (0-19, 20-39, 40-59, 60-79, ≥80 years). For mortality and incidence rates, data were presented in calculations for every 100,000 women or men.

The municipal distribution graphs of serum incidence of HBsAg and anti-HCV were based on SINAN data for each municipality and on the correlation with municipal areas (called “polygons”) available from IBGE. Tableau software, version 10.5, was used to create the graphs.

SIM and SINAN consist of Brazilian Ministry of Health open access databases. Patient data are unidentified, and their purpose is to provide a national epidemiological profile. Therefore, these are official government data.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were treated statistically and analyzed quantitatively in Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corp., USA) and Gran Graphic Prisma, version 6. For different years, statistical comparisons were performed using Student’s t-test for parametric variables and Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric variables. The data were also treated descriptively. The results are shown in graphs and tables for better interpretation. Significant values were considered when p≤0.05.

**RESULTS**

In the study period, 154,542 serological tests were positive for HBV and 233,449 for HCV, while 28,293 deaths were attributed to viral hepatitis in Brazil. When the populations were correlated, the rates were 7.88 (95% CI, 7.30-8.45) for HBV, 11.9 (95% CI, 11.15-12.65) for HCV and 1.61 (95% CI, 1.35-1.87) deaths per 100,000 people (Table 1).

In the male population, the age group most affected by HBV was 40-59 years (42%), while in the female population it was 20-39 years (53%). For HCV, the most affected age group was 40-59 years (54%). The peak mortality was 40-59 years of age (43%) due to liver dysfunctions.

The evolution pattern of these infections from 2007 to 2017 was analyzed (Figure 1). There was a 64% decrease (p = 0.001) in the serum incidence of HBsAg in both male and female populations of
Table 1: Serum incidence of HBsAg and anti-HCV and mortality rate attributed to viral hepatitis per federal unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal units</th>
<th>Hepatitis B virus</th>
<th></th>
<th>Hepatitis C virus</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande do Sul</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>11.68-14.13</td>
<td>67.37</td>
<td>63.08-71.66</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.19-4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Catarina</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>22.01-25.87</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>15.97-18.28</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.27-1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraná</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>15.96-19.75</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>9.8-12.98</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.27-1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Paulo</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>7.32-8.38</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>16.32-18.54</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.98-2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.29-4.74</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>7.58-11.57</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.02-2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minas Gerais</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.74-4.65</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>4.69-6.81</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.75-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espírito Santo</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>11.69-13.86</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>4.77-6.42</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.1-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mato Grosso do Sul</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>5.75-8.75</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>7.14-10.09</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.16-1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mato Grosso</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>18.2-22.24</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>5.58-7.73</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.96-1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goiás</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.49-6.71</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.04-4.56</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.98-1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distrito Federal</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>5.11-8.82</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.95-10.85</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.81-1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acre</td>
<td>86.22</td>
<td>69.17-103.27</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>19.29-39.27</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.29-1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rondônia</td>
<td>36.83</td>
<td>24.45-49.20</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>3.75-13.83</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.69-2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazonas</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>13.23-22.59</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>4.51-9.72</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.88-2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roraima</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>18.45-24.15</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.99-4.9</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.9-1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pará</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.42-3.41</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.55-2.59</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.6-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amapá</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.92-5.81</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>3.61-4.9</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.43-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocantins</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>7.23-8.84</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.83-3.57</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.63-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maranhão</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.58-3.76</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.87-2.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.78-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piauí</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.14-1.7</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.82-1.71</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.54-0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceará</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.87-2.13</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.79-2.82</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.38-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande do Norte</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.3-1.78</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.12-2.78</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.56-0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraíba</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2-3.45</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.64-2.74</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.48-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernambuco</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.67-2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.05-3.44</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.88-1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alagoas</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.98-4.48</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.66-2.54</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.59-0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergipe</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>5.42-6.46</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.8-3.57</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.55-0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahia</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.77-3.88</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.04-4.24</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.63-0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Demonstrating serum incidence of HBsAg and Anti-HCV and mortality rate by age group during 2007-17.
up to 20 years of age. Serum incidence of anti-HCV increased by 47% \((p = 0.0001)\) and by 52% \((p = 0.03)\) in the populations aged 60-79 years and above 80 years, respectively. Mortality was analyzed up to 2016 and showed a 70% reduction \((p = 0.01)\) in the population aged up to 20 years.

A geographical analysis, demonstrated visually in Figure 2, illustrates the pattern of HBV endemic regions. The most affected areas were the northern border (Acre, Amazonas, Rondônia and Mato Grosso states) and the southern border (Paraná, Santa Catarina and Rio Grande do Sul states). However, it is worth mentioning that there were other endemic spots in Brazil, such as Santa Catarina northern coast and Espírito Santo state.

When the same analysis was performed for HCV, the pattern was different from HBV (Figure 3). The most affected regions were metropolitan areas such as Porto Alegre, Santa Catarina and Paraná coasts, São Paulo and Mato Grosso do Sul states. The border shared with Bolivia and Peru was also highly endemic.

**DISCUSSION**

Viral hepatitis is a serious public health problem accounting for 1.4 million deaths worldwide. In Brazil, there is a large regional variation in the prevalence of each of the etiological agents, which cross state borders. These differences are related to regional economic and population characteristics. Viral hepatitis affects a great number of individuals in the country, involving complications of its acute and chronic forms\(^3\,^4\,^21\).

The economic impact associated with hepatitis is relevant because, in endemic areas, the development of hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis occurs in the young population, leading to decades of productive lives compromised. In the more advanced stages of disease progression, the costs are very high due to the consumption of health resources, such as hospitalizations, medical consultations, medications, tests and, in some cases, the need for liver transplantation\(^15\).

Transmission of HBV and HCV is mainly related to blood contamination due to injecting drug use, formerly due to contaminated blood transfusion. Sexual intercourse is still somewhat controversial in HCV transmission, with conflicting data in the literature, while in HBV transmission its role has already been proven\(^6\,^22\,^24\). Even when the virus is transmitted, many patients may not become chronically infected, as factors such as contact age, virus type, viral load and method of transmission are important variables for chronicization. The acquisition of HBV infection during childhood, mainly from maternal source, presents high chronicification rates ranging from 90 to 95%. However, when infection is acquired in adulthood, chronicification affects only 5% of the individuals\(^8\,^15\). Conversely, HCV infection has high chronicification rates, close to 95%.

A geographical analysis of Map 1, which reveals the pattern of HBV endemic regions in the country, indicates the northern and southern borders as the most affected areas. The involvement of border areas could be explained by the fact that they are less developed and have difficulties in consolidating control measures, such as vaccination and prenatal care\(^6\,^23\,^25\). In the northern border, Acre, Amazonas, Rondônia and Mato Grosso states have high birth rates; thus, the virus can be transmitted from mother to child, which has been frequently described in endemic areas such as East Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa\(^26\).
There are several studies showing that the presence of family members infected with HBV is associated with a higher frequency of other infected members. The present results can be used to explain why there is a high prevalence of infected individuals in southern and northern areas, consistent with the hypothesis of virus transmission in the family environment\(^{15,24,28,29}\).

The prevalence of HCV, as seen in Map 2, was higher in metropolitan areas such as Porto Alegre, Santa Catarina and Paraná coasts, São Paulo and Mato Grosso do Sul states. Drug injection is the most important risk factor for the acquisition of HCV infection in large urban centers, especially in young individuals. In addition, the high prevalence in satellite cities may be explained by the large influx of migrants\(^{15,24,28,29}\).

In the study period, there was a decrease in mortality due to viral hepatitis and in the number of HBV infections in the 0–19 years age group. Some possible explanations for these results are governmental measures such as HBsAg screening for pregnant women and incorporation of hepatitis A and B vaccines into the immunization schedule, now available to all people regardless of age. However, the increase in HCV infection found in older age groups may be due to a greater demand for serological tests\(^{30}\).

The data analyzed in the present study were selected from official Ministry of Health databases. All positive HBsAg and anti-HCV results are required to be submitted to the Brazilian government. Thus, these databases allow an analysis of the health panorama in Brazil.

**CONCLUSION**

Viral hepatitis in the Brazilian context has high heterogeneity, with several endemic areas for both HBV and HCV. These patterns are associated with virus characteristics and local peculiarities. There was a decrease in HBV infection and mortality rates in the young population, possibly due to the consolidation of government programs. However, the current situation is not encouraging because chronicification is associated with a number of morbid conditions and a high cost to society.
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