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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obesity is associated with the development of metabolic disorders that can be diagnosed through inflammatory and oxidative biomarkers.

Background: This study evaluated the influence of obesity and overweight on serum concentrations of vitamins C and E, transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) and cardiometabolic parameters.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted with 169 participants (24 normal weight, 16 overweight and 129 obese). Anthropometric measures and concentrations of vitamins C and E, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), TGF-β1, lipid profile, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glucose and insulin were determined, and homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and insulin sensitivity (IS) were calculated.

Results: Obese and overweight participants showed significantly higher levels of TGF-β1, vitamin E, insulin, HbA1c, glucose, cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), triglycerides, HOMA-IR, and TBARS compared with normal weight patients, associated with a significant reduction in IS, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), and vitamin C.

Conclusions: Obesity and overweight may lead to significant changes in TGF-B1, biochemical and oxidative markers. Increased levels of TGF-β1 may promote inflammation and interfere with IS. Reduced concentrations of vitamin C and increased levels of TBARS led to a redox imbalance in obese and overweight patients, suggesting that vitamin E is not a promising oxidative biomarker since it is lipophilic and its concentration is influenced by body fat. These results may help determine the oxidative and inflammatory pathways related to obesity and its comorbidities.
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Obesity has increased substantially worldwide in recent years and in all age groups, being thus considered a public health problem. The disease is characterized by the deposition of abnormal or excessive fat in adipose tissue, which must be prevented, mainly for being associated with chronic conditions, such as cardiovascular diseases, dyslipidemia, systemic hypertension, insulin resistance (IR), type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and some types of malignant neoplasms¹.

Evidence has placed obesity as a chronic subclinical inflammatory condition, associated with dysfunction of the immune system and increase in oxidative stress². A reduction in antioxidant defense systems, or an increased generation of oxidative stress, whether radical or not, may result in oxidative damage in macromolecules of various cellular structures, which, if left unrepaired, will affect the functionality of cells, tissues and organs³.

Recent evidence indicates that reactive oxygen species (ROS) could modulate transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) signaling through different pathways,
including the Smad pathway. TGF-β1 increases the production of ROS and suppresses antioxidant enzymes, leading to a redox imbalance, while ROS, in turn, induce/activate TGF-β1. TGF-β1 increases the production of ROS by impairing mitochondrial function and inducing NADPH oxidases (NOXs), especially NOX4. TGF-β1 also suppresses antioxidant defense, including the synthesis of glutathione, the most abundant intracellular free thiol, and several other antioxidant enzymes, leading to oxidative stress.

One of the ways through which the body neutralizes free radicals is using dietary antioxidants such as α-tocopherol (vitamin E), ascorbic acid (vitamin C), β-carotene (vitamin A) and phenolic compounds with a predominance of flavonoids and polyflavonoids. Dietary antioxidants inactivate oxidative substances more rapidly in obese than in non-obese individuals. This occurs because the amount of oxidants in obese individuals is higher, with greater need for antioxidants to avoid possible damage.

Vitamins C and E play an important physiological role in the reduction of oxidative stress. Vitamin C is a water-soluble antioxidant which neutralizes free radicals, having thus an anticancer role. Vitamin E prevents or reduces free radical damage in diseases such as arthritis, cancer, cataracts and aging by preventing the action of free radicals on biological membranes.

The use of antioxidant agents may represent a new approach to inhibiting damage caused by the excess of free radicals. Mihalj et al. demonstrated that vitamin C reduces blood pressure and reestablishes peripheral vasodilator response in obese children. Weight loss is associated with a reduction in the production of oxidant species and a better antioxidant capacity, favoring a reduction in risk factors and an improvement in comorbidities. Vitamin E has the antioxidant role of promoting the elimination of free radicals or stimulating the release of other antioxidants, as well as an important participation in glycemic control and prevention of complications of diabetes, especially in DM2.

As obesity and overweight are related to decreased levels of vitamins, especially those with antioxidant characteristics, and a redox imbalance, which in turn interferes with the production of TGF-β1, this study aimed to evaluate the influence of obesity and overweight on serum concentrations of vitamins C and E, TGF-β1, level of lipid peroxidation and cardiometabolic parameters in volunteers with increased body mass index (BMI) compared with volunteers with normal BMI.

**METHODS**

**Study Population**

Participants were recruited from January to August 2016 in São Miguel do Oeste, Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of West of Santa Catarina (UNOESC, no. 219.091), and all participants provided written informed consent. Experiments were performed in 169 volunteers. A total of 24 normal weight individuals with gender-matched healthy volunteers served as a control group (19 females and 5 males). The participants with increased weight were divided into two subgroups, matching for sex, age and BMI, and were enrolled as follows: 1) 16 overweight individuals (12 females and 4 males); 2) 129 obese young individuals (103 females and 26 males). The participants were non-smokers and were not using any medications, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Normal Weight</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>Obese</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/Women</td>
<td>5/19</td>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>23/103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>42±15</td>
<td>40±19</td>
<td>32±12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (cm)</td>
<td>21.7±2.1</td>
<td>27.9±6.0</td>
<td>30.1±6.4*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>58.3±7.4**</td>
<td>75.5±6.3**</td>
<td>103.4±22.7*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>22.5±4.4</td>
<td>30.8±9.5**</td>
<td>43.5±28.8*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (kg)</td>
<td>13.0±2.6</td>
<td>25.5±18.4**</td>
<td>42.3±12.1*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC (cm)</td>
<td>31.2±2.3</td>
<td>33.0±3.4</td>
<td>36.6±4.4*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>70.8±7.1</td>
<td>85.0±8.4</td>
<td>112.7±13.4*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>94.9±4.3</td>
<td>102.0±4.3</td>
<td>121.0±13.9*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>10.8±1.1</td>
<td>12.0±5.7</td>
<td>13.2±1.9*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>6.8±1.1</td>
<td>7.1±0.9</td>
<td>7.9±1.2*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile ranges). Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s or Kruskal-Wallis test and then by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. BMI: Body Mass Index; NC: Neck Circumference; WC: Waist Circumference; HC: Hip Circumference; DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure. * p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. ** p < 0.001, overweight group compared with normal weight group.
Anthropometric measurement

All measurements were performed at the Anthropometry Laboratory at the University of West of Santa Catarina, São Miguel do Oeste (Table 1). Standing height (H, cm) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Charder model HM-210D). Weight (W, kg) was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated electronic scale (Toledo model 2124). BMI was calculated as W/H² (kg/m²). Waist circumference (WC), neck circumference (NC) and hip circumference (HC) were measured in centimeters with a flexible tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. For WC the tape was applied above the iliac crest with the person standing with the abdomen relaxed, arms extended along sides and feet together. For NC the participant remained in the same position and the tape was placed around the neck over the hyoid bone. Body fat percentage and body fat mass were determined by bioimpedance analysis (Biodynamics Model 450). Systolic and diastolic pressure (SBP, DBP) were measured after the participant was sitting and resting for 10 minutes with a digital apparatus and were expressed in mmHg. All measurements were performed on the left side of the body, according to standardized procedures described by Lourie and Weiner. During the anthropometric measurements, all participants were barefoot and wearing appropriate clothes.

Indices and classifications

According to the World Health Organization, underweight is defined as BMI < 18.5 kg/m², normal weight as BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m², overweight as BMI 25-29.9 kg/m², and obesity as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², all without comorbidities. According to Gallagher et al., body fat percentage ≥ 20% (men) and ≥ 33% (women) are the cutoff points adopted to define fatness, corresponding to overweight classification using BMI in a population of young adults. According to the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines, WC ≥ 102 cm (men) and ≥ 88 cm (women) are prerequisite risk factors for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome, as well as waist-to-stature ratio (WSR) ≥ 0.5 for both men and women.

Laboratory methods

Blood samples were collected after 10-12-hour fasting by venipuncture and placed in tubes with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or without anticoagulant with separator gel to obtain the serum. The tubes without anticoagulant were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 6000 rpm. The serum was separated and placed in amber containers to prevent metabolic breakdown.

Biochemical and hormonal measures

Insulin concentration was determined by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using Elecsys 2010 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics®). IR index was calculated by homeostatic model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR) as follows: (fasting insulin mIU/L) × (fasting glucose mg/dL) / 22.5. For evaluation of insulin sensitivity (IS), the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUISCI) was used. Glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) concentrations were determined using kits (Labtest Diagnostics®). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) was calculated using the Friedewald formula. HbA1c was measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Tosoh 2.2 Plus A1C, Tosoh Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), a method certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program and standardized by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry, expressed in %. Lipid peroxidation was estimated by measuring thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) according to the method of Lapenna et al. The reaction product was measured spectrophotometrically at 532 nm and the results were expressed in nmol/L. Vitamins C and E were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (ABCAM – Ascorbic Acid Assay Kit® and Rac Beta - Tocopherol Assay Kit®) and were expressed in nmol/L. TGF-β1 was measured by ELISA (ABCAM – ab100647). This assay employs a specific antibody for human TGF-β1 coated on a 96-well plate. Standards and samples are pipetted into the wells and TGF-β1 present in the sample is bound to the wells by the immobilized antibody. The wells are washed and biotinylated anti-human TGF-β1 antibody is added. After washing away unbound biotinylated antibody, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin is pipetted to the wells. The wells are washed again, a 3,3’,5,5’-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate solution is added to the wells and color develops in proportion to the amount of bound TGF-β1. The color of the stop solution changes from blue to yellow, and the intensity of the color is measured at 450 nm and expressed in ng/mL.

Statistical Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine the distribution of variables. Comparisons of baseline data between the groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test or Kruskal-Wallis test and then by Dunn’s multiple comparison test, in order to determine statistical differences between the BMI groups and gender. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. The data were analyzed using Statistica 6.0 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).
RESULTS

General Characteristics Of The Study Population

Baseline characteristics of study participants are described in Table 1. In relation to SBP, DBP, weight, BMI, NC, HC, WC, body fat percentage and body fat mass, the obese group showed a significant increase (p < 0.0001) when compared with the normal weight and the overweight groups.

Laboratory tests

The results of laboratory tests are presented in Table 2 and Figures 1-4. The obese group showed a significant increase in insulin, glucose, HbA1c, HOMA-IR, cholesterol, LDL-c, triglycerides (p < 0.001), as well as TGF-β1, vitamin E and TBARS (p < 0.001) when compared with the normal weight group. In the obese group there was a significant reduction in IS, HDL-c and vitamin C (p < 0.001) when compared with the normal weight group. Moreover, the overweight group showed a significant increase (p < 0.001) in cholesterol, LDL-c, triglycerides, TBARS, vitamin E and TGF-β1, and a significant reduction (p < 0.001) in HDL-c and vitamin C when compared with the normal weight group. No significant differences between genders were observed.

Table 2: Biochemical concentrations of study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Normal Weight</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>Obese</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>78.2±22.7</td>
<td>93.6±61.5**</td>
<td>137.9±84.5*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>132 (125-163)</td>
<td>177 (140-225)**</td>
<td>182 (155-211)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>52 (45-59)</td>
<td>41 (36-55)**</td>
<td>41 (34-48)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>66 (52-92)</td>
<td>113 (87-159)**</td>
<td>120 (90-141)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>78±6.0</td>
<td>80±17</td>
<td>92±32*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µUI/mL)</td>
<td>7.4±3.0</td>
<td>9.6±5.1</td>
<td>24.0±14.5*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.4±0.3</td>
<td>5.7±0.8</td>
<td>6.1±1.3*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eAG</td>
<td>117±4.2</td>
<td>127±28</td>
<td>132±41</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.4±0.6</td>
<td>1.9±1.1</td>
<td>5.9±5.5*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>0.36±0.02</td>
<td>0.35±0.03</td>
<td>0.30±0.02*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile ranges). Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s or Kruskal-Wallis test and then by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. HDL-c: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; eAG: estimated average glucose; HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IS: insulin sensitivity. * p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. ** p < 0.001, overweight group compared with normal weight and overweight groups.

Figure 1: Serum concentration of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) in the study groups. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. *p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. **p < 0.001, overweight group compared with the normal weight and the overweight groups.

Figure 2: Serum concentration of vitamin C in the study groups. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. *p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. **p < 0.001, overweight group compared with normal weight and overweight groups.
Influence of obesity on TGFβ-1 and other parameters

TGF-β1, vitamins C and E, TBARS and cardiometabolic parameters. The results indicate that TGF-β1, vitamin E and TBARS are increased and vitamin C is decreased in obese and overweight adults (Figures 4, 3, 1 and 2, respectively) when compared with individuals with normal weight.

TGF-β1 is a type of cytokine that exhibits potent immunoregulatory and anti-inflammatory properties. It also correlates with obesity-induced IR, and TGF-β/Smad3 signaling pathway plays a key role in the development of IR as shown in genetically obese mice. Within this context, an elevation in TGF-β levels may carry relevant pathophysiological implications. In fact, TGF-β1 potently stimulates monocyte chemotaxis and endothelial transmigration and promotes smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration by up-regulating platelet-derived growth factor gene expression. These represent early events in atherosclerosis development. TGF-β1 also induces PAI-1 both in live and cultured adipocytes, as well as in other tissues. In addition, together with increased BMI, there was greater serum accumulation of triglycerides, total cholesterol and especially LDL-c, suggesting that the elevated secretion of TGF-β1 in obese conditions may affect lipid metabolism in obese and overweight adults, triggering the production of LDL-c in liver cells and degrading the LDL-c receptor in the liver. Furthermore, this cytokine has atherogenic potential by increasing the expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and anti-vascular-1 in vascular endothelial cells and increasing nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-kβ) activity, a flare gun for induction of these adhesion molecules.

It has been shown that TGF-β/Smad3 signaling is an important regulator of insulin gene transcription and β-cell function, and TGF-β signaling represses insulin gene transcription. Also, TGF-β signaling regulates glucose tolerance. In fact, concomitantly with the increase in TGF-β1 in proportion to the increase in BMI, we observed a significant increase in insulin, glucose, HbA1c and HOMA-IR levels and a significant decrease in IS, suggesting that this cytokine could play an important role in the development of IR in patients with excess weight. Previous studies have shown that TGF-β contributes to the development of IR in pregnant women.

Another relevant point is that TGF-β1 increases the production of ROS by impairing mitochondrial function and inducing NOXs, especially NOX4, a non-phagocytic NOX expressed by many different types of cells. TGF-β also suppresses the antioxidant system, including the synthesis of glutathione, the most abundant intracellular free thiol and an important antioxidant, and several other antioxidant enzymes, leading to oxidative stress or redox imbalance.

DISCUSSION

Multiple mechanisms may contribute to the development of obesity-related comorbidities, including abnormal production of cytokines, aberrant oxidative stress and dysregulated proinflammatory response in tissues of the muscles and the liver. Cytokines and inflammatory markers could mediate the facilitating effect of obesity on the appearance of its comorbidities such as IR, DM2 and cardiovascular disease.

In the present report, we examined the influence of obesity and overweight on serum concentrations of vitamin E and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) in the study groups. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. *p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. **p < 0.05, overweight group compared with normal weight group.

Figure 3: Serum concentration of vitamin E in the study groups. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. *p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. **p < 0.05, overweight group compared with normal weight group.

Figure 4: Serum concentration of transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) in the study groups. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. *p < 0.001, obese group compared with normal weight group. **p < 0.001, overweight group compared with normal weight group.
This redox imbalance in turn induces/activates TGF-β1.8

This study also evaluated the concentration of vitamins C and E, which have an important antioxidant function. With the increase in BMI there was a significant decrease in vitamin C levels (Figure 2), thus decreasing the capacity of the obese and the overweight organism to neutralize free radicals, while increasing ROS, lipid peroxidation and TBARS concentration. As vitamin C is a water-soluble vitamin, with the increase in body weight and concomitant reduction in the relation between lean mass and fat mass, there is a reduction in the water phase to the lipid phase of the body and thus a decrease in vitamin C, exposing the cells to the deleterious effects of oxidative stress.30

Contrasting with this finding there was a significant increase in vitamin E concentration together with the increase in BMI (Figure 3). This can be explained by the fact that this vitamin is liposoluble.31 As obese and overweight patients showed larger amounts of circulating lipids in this study, this vitamin remains in circulation for a longer period when submitted to higher serum lipid concentrations. This is probably related to the slower catabolism of lipoproteins and thus the higher level of serum lipids and greater amount of circulating vitamin E.32 We believe that the determination of vitamin E may not be a good immediate antioxidant indicator in obese and overweight patients, as it is related to the increase in serum lipids. Thus, a normal amount of vitamin E would be a reflection of antioxidant activity, but related to the accumulation of serum lipids, especially because we found increased TBARS in obese and overweight patients when compared with patients with normal BMI.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of the study was that obesity and excess weight may lead to significant changes in TGF-β1 levels and biochemical and oxidative markers. Increased TGF-β1, resulting from infiltration and activation of macrophages in adipose tissue, can promote inflammation and impairment of IS, therefore having a critical role in the pathogenesis of IR, dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis. Moreover, TGF-β1 increased ROS production and suppressed the antioxidant system, which promotes reduction of vitamin C and increase in TBARS concentration, leading to redox imbalance in obese and overweight patients. In addition, it is believed that vitamin E may not be an oxidative biomarker in patients with excess weight associated with dyslipidemia because it has lipophilic characteristics and its concentration is closely related to the increase in serum lipids. The present results could help determine the pathways involved in obesity-related inflammation and its comorbidities. They may also play a role in identifying new inflammatory markers, especially for the obese population, and thus contribute to preventing the network of inflammatory and oxidative complications related to obesity.
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