



## Characterization of bacterial population during composting of municipal solid waste

Caracterização da população bacteriana em processo de compostagem de resíduos urbanos

Diego Hoffmeister<sup>1</sup>, José Carlos Germani<sup>2</sup> & Sueli Teresinha Van Der Sand<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Composting is a natural biological process that degrades organic matter which is carried out spontaneously by aerobic microorganisms, whose metabolic action leads to the mineralization and partial humification of organic residue. The aim of this study was to investigate survival of potentially pathogenic bacterial species throughout the process and detection of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) in the compost using PCR. The presence of heterotrophic bacteria, total total and fecal coliforms, and bacteria succession were also determined. Out of the total 222 bacterial colonies isolated, 33 different genera and 56 different species were identified, being *Bacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Enterobacter*, and *Pseudomonas*, the prevailing genera. Total coliform counts ranged between  $9.0 \times 10^4$  and  $3.0 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, and fecal coliform counts between  $1.0 \times 10^4$  and  $2.3 \times 10^6$  cfu/g. The composting process was partially efficient, since even though coliform counts were reduced, enterotoxigenic *E. coli* was detected in mature compost.

**Key words:** Composting, bacterial population, solid waste, enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC), PCR.

### RESUMO

Compostagem é um processo biológico natural de degradação da matéria orgânica, desenvolvida espontaneamente por microrganismos cuja atividade metabólica leva a mineralização e humificação parcial da matéria orgânica. O objetivo deste estudo foi investigar a sobrevivência de espécies bacterianas potencialmente patogênicas durante o processo de compostagem e a detecção de *Escherichia coli* enterotoxigênica (ETEC) do composto usando PCR. A presença de bactérias heterotróficas, coliformes totais e fecais e a sucessão da população bacteriana durante a compostagem foi determinada. Do total de 222 colônias bacterianas isoladas 33 gêneros e 56 espécies foram identificados. Os gêneros predominantes foram *Bacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Enterobacter* e *Pseudomonas*. A contagem de coliformes totais permaneceu entre  $9,0 \times 10^4$  e  $3,0 \times 10^6$  UFC/g e fecais  $1,0 \times 10^4$  e  $2,3 \times 10^6$  UFC/g. O processo de compostagem apresentou uma eficiência parcial, pois apesar de ter ocorrido uma redução na contagem de coliformes *E. coli* (ETEC) foi detectada no composto final.

**Descritores:** compostagem, população bacteriana, resíduo sólido, *E. coli* enterotoxigênica, PCR.

## INTRODUCTION

Composting is a natural biological process of aerobic decay carried out by a wide range of microorganisms that stabilizes organic matter and generates mulch [14,29,33]. Mulch is the end product of this process and it has proved a valuable fertilizer and conditioner of depleted soils [3, 6,13,20,22]. Many factors determine the microbial community during composting, but under aerobic conditions, temperature is the major factor that defines what genera of microorganisms, will be present, species diversity, and rate of metabolic activities [15].

Microbial quality of the compost reflects the effectiveness of the composting process. Therefore, a thorough assessment of maturation is crucial because the use of an under-maturated compost may provoke serious biological damage to soils [11,17,19], along with the proliferation and spreading of potentially pathogenic microorganisms.

Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) strains are an important cause of diarrhea in infants and adult travellers in the human population. These strains are also responsible for diarrheal diseases of animals such as pig and cattle, and may produce types I and II heat-stable (ST) and types I and II heat-labile (LT) toxins. Most diarrhea outbreaks occurring world-wide are due to the consumption of contaminated foods and water [7].

The aim of this study was to investigate the succession of bacterial population and the survival of potentially pathogenic bacteria throughout the composting process adopted in the treatment of municipal solid waste. A PCR technique was used to detect enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) in the end product.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sampling

Samples were collected from a municipal waste compost windrow, in a solid waste sorting and composting plant in the Greater Porto Alegre, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The composting process consisted in forming windrows that underwent forced aeration cycles from under the bottom, for six minutes every hour. Sampling took place fortnightly for 14 weeks, and a subsequent collection happened at full maturation of the compost at the 22<sup>nd</sup> week. Samples were collected at three different locations and depths in the composting pile (lower, upper and median point) mixed, and one aliquot of 10g was used as a sample.

### pH determination

The pH of each sample was determined using a suspension obtained by dispersing the compost sample in sterile distilled water 1:5 [18].

### Microbiologic assays

A suspension using 10 g compost sample was prepared in 90 mL sterile distilled water. This mixture was incubated at 30° C at 100 rpm in an orbital shaker for 30 min to homogenize the sample. Whereupon serial dilutions were prepared and used in microbial counts, colimetric assays and bacteria isolation for identification.

### Microbial counts

The pour plate technique on plate count agar (PCA) determined the total culturable heterotrophic bacteria. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours and the total number was estimated by the average number of colony forming units (CFU) per gram of compost samples. Only plates with 30 to 300 colonies were considered for the counting.

### Colimetric assays

Colimetric analysis was carried out using the multiple-tube fermentation procedure as a Most Probable Number (MPN) index [2].

### Bacterial isolates

Isolation of bacteria was done using seven different culture media, brain-heart infusion agar (BHI), blood base agar supplemented with ovine blood 5%, cetrimide agar, Pseudomonas agar, eosin-methylene-blue lactose saccharose agar (EMB), xylose-lysine-desoxycholate agar (XLD), xylose-lysine-tergitol 4 (XLT<sub>4</sub>). Aliquots of 0,1mL of the last three dilutions were seeded on two plates with each of the media, incubated at 37°C for 24-48h. For the isolation of the *Salmonella* species, samples were pre-enriched in tetrathionate broth at 43°C for 16-18 h. Each dilution provided 0.1-mL aliquots that were seeded in duplicate onto plates containing XLD and XLT<sub>4</sub> and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Once incubation was over, 5 squares of 1cm<sup>2</sup> were drawn over the plates and 5 colonies from each square were selected from each plate. Identification of isolates started with the Gram's method and afterwards several biochemical tests, like the oxidation/fermentation test, methyl red/Voges Proskauer assay, starch hydrolysis, citrate and indol reactivity, motility, urease reaction, arginine, lysine and ornitin decarboxylation reaction, and the reaction with diffe-

rent carbon sources were performed following the literature [16].

#### **Contamination of the compost with enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC)**

*Escherichia coli* LT<sup>+</sup> ST<sup>+</sup> (ATCC 11105) culture was prepared in BHI broth and incubated at 37°C until it reached a  $3 \times 10^8$  cell/mL concentration. A dilution of fully mature compost was prepared with 10 g compost dispersed in 90 mL sterile distilled water. This dilution provided 10-mL aliquots that were transferred to two 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 30 mL BHI broth. Subsequently, one of the Erlenmeyer flasks received 300 mL of the *E. coli* culture. The other flask was used as negative control, without the seedling with of the *E. coli* culture. A third flask containing 30 mL BHI broth and no compost sample received 300 mL of the *E. coli* culture and was the positive control. All flasks were then incubated at 37°C for 16-18 h. These bacterial growths provided the samples for the DNA extraction and the PCR assays.

#### **DNA extraction**

The method employed to extract plasmid DNA was alkaline lysis described by Sambrook *et al.* [24] with modifications. From each bacterial growth previously described 6 mL were retrieved and centrifuged at 15,493g for 2 min. The pellet was washed in 1 mL 1M NaCl. After centrifugation, 200 mL of solution I was added (50mM glucose, 25mM Tris HCl, 10mM EDTA). The mixture was then homogenised and incubated in ice for 30 min. Next, 400 mL of solution II was added (1% SDS, 0,2 M NaOH), and this mixture was incubated in ice for 15 min. 300 mL of solution III (5M potassium acetate, glacial acetic acid) was added, and this final mixture was incubated in ice for 30 min. Centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15 min followed. The top aqueous phase was then transferred to a new tube and underwent purification by two consecutive extraction with equal volumes of phenol: chloroform extractions. After another centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min, DNA precipitation was promoted by the addition of 0.3 M sodium acetate and 2.5 v ice-cold 100% ethanol. This mixture was incubated at -20°C for 12 h, centrifuged and the pellet was washed in 70% ethanol and allowed to dry at room temperature. The DNA was resuspended in 40 mL TE (10mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA).

#### **The PCR amplification**

**Primers:** Primers<sup>1</sup> used in the detection of enterotoxigenic *E. coli* were designed based on the com-

plete sequences of the target genes of reference [12,34]. The primers LT10 and LT11 are complementary to the gene encoding the heat-labile enterotoxin and amplifies a 221-bp fragment:

LT10 (5'-CGATGGCAGGCAAAAGAGAA-3') and LT11 (5'-GTTTTCCATACTGATTGCCG-3').

Primers ST10 and ST11 are complementary to the gene encoding the heat-stable enterotoxin and amplifies a 167-bp fragment:

ST10 (5'-GTCTTTTTTCACCTTTCGCTC-3') and ST11 (5'-TACAAGCAGGATTACAACAC-3').

PCR reactions took place in 2.5mL reaction buffer 1x (100 mM Tris HCl, 500mM KCl), 6.25 mL 10mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mL of a solution of the four deoxynucleotides (2.8 mM each), 300 ng bovine serum albumin (BSA), 122 ng primer ST 10, 120 ng primer ST 11, or 30 ng primers LT10 and LT 11, 1U Taq polymerase, and 30 ng template DNA, to a final volume of 25 mL. Reactions were performed in a thermal cycler<sup>2</sup>, under the following conditions for the ST gene: 35 cycles (45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 47°C, 90 s at 72°C); and for the LT gene: 30 cycles (30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C, 60 s at 72°C). The PCR product was electrophoresed in a 1,2% agarose gel containing Tris acetate EDTA (TAE) and stained with ethidium bromide, and then photographed using a Digital camera<sup>3</sup>.

## **RESULTS**

#### **pH measurements**

The first two samples had pH values between 5.0 and 6.0, due to the excretion of organic acids produced by microbial metabolism. Thereafter, pH values rose and remained essentially unchanged for the last three samples. The final value (8.0) was slightly alkaline (Table 1).

#### **Number of heterotrophic bacteria**

Total number of heterotrophic bacteria at the first sample collection was  $5.6 \times 10^6$  cfu/g compost. This count dwindled during the ensuing collections, but came to rise again in the 8<sup>th</sup> week of composting, reaching  $8.0 \times 10^7$  at the end of the process (Table 2).

#### **Coliform counts**

Total coliform count fluctuated all through this experiment (Table 2), but a trend downwards was observed as compost matured, though without an integral elimination of either total or fecal coliform. The data for fecal coliforms demonstrate a significant de-

**Table 1.** pH values for samples collected in municipal waste compost windrows.

Sample collection	Time of composting	pH
1	First day	5,0
2	15 days	6,0
3	30 days	7,0
4	45 days	7,0
5	60 days	8,0
6	75 days	9,0
7	90 days	8,5
8	105 days	8,0
9	154 days	8,0


**Table 2.** Evolution in heterotrophic microorganisms counts and of the fecal and total coliform counts per gram of solid compost, measured throughout the composting process.

Sample	Heterotrophic count cells/g	Total coliform count cells/g	Fecal coliform count cells/g
1	5,6 x10 <sup>6</sup>	3,0 x10 <sup>6</sup>	2,3 x10 <sup>6</sup>
2	8,0 x10 <sup>5</sup>	2,3 x10 <sup>5</sup>	2,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>
3	2,3 x10 <sup>6</sup>	5,0 x10 <sup>5</sup>	4,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>
4	1,17 x10 <sup>7</sup>	3,0 x10 <sup>5</sup>	1,3 x10 <sup>5</sup>
5	1,4 x10 <sup>6</sup>	3,0 x10 <sup>5</sup>	2,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>
6	4,80 x10 <sup>7</sup>	3,6 x10 <sup>5</sup>	4,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>
7	1,20 x10 <sup>7</sup>	2,3 x10 <sup>5</sup>	4,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>
8	5,40 x10 <sup>7</sup>	5,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>	2,6 x10 <sup>4</sup>
9	8,00 x10 <sup>7</sup>	9,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>	1,0 x10 <sup>4</sup>

crease in cells/g of compost for this group of microorganisms: 2.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> to 1.1 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/g

**Biochemical identification of microorganisms**

Results showed a great bacterial diversity throughout the process. Out of the 222 colonies isolated, 33 genera and 56 species were identified. The most commonly detected genera were: *Bacillus* (19.36%), *Escherichia* (14.86%), *Enterobacter* (14.41%), and *Pseudomonas* (10.36%), which altogether accounted for 59% of the total number of isolates. Prevalence of the *Bacillus* genus was seen chiefly in the first two sample collections (Figure 1). *Bacillus pumilus* was the most common species of the genus identified in this study, comprising 41.8% of the total *Bacillus* genus identified (data not shown).



**Figure 1.** Total number of identified genera from sample collections 1 and 2 (15 and 30 days) respectively. N=53. The genera are read from left to right on each line.

*Escherichia coli* is the bioindicator to establish the presence of fecal coliforms in a given sample. In Table 2 a slight rise can be seen in the coliform count measured for the fourth sample collection as compared with the second. This rise was confirmed by the identification of a greater number of *E. coli* isolates at the fourth sample collection, which accounted for 19.35% of the total number of isolates, as opposed to all other collections (Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4).


Since *Enterobacter* is one of the genera belonging to the total coliform group, its presence also works as an indication of a likely fecal contamination. Table 2 shows a slight increase in the total coliform counts for the third and six sample collections compared to the second. Figures 1, 2, and 3 indicate a general rise in the occurrence of *Enterobacter* isolates.

*Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* was the only species of the *Pseudomonas* genus to be isolated in this study. Its presence was detected from the fourth collection on, with a gradual rise in the number of isolates thereafter (Figures 2, 3 and 4), accompanied by a decrease in the occurrence of the other genera that prevailed as of the first sample collections (Figures 1 and 4).


The present study showed a great diversity for bacterial genera characterized in compost samples. The results indicate that no considerable oscillations occurred in this diversity apart from shifts in the prevalence of some genera throughout the process.

**PCR amplification**


Figure 5 and 6 show the amplification products of the compost samples inocu-



**Figure 2.** Total number of identified genera from sample collections 3 and 4 (45 and 60 days) respectively. N=58. The genera are read from left to right on each line.



**Figure 3.** Total number of identified genera from sample collections 5 and 6 (60 and 75 days) respectively. N=59. The genera are read from left to right on each line.



**Figure 4.** Total number of identified genera from sample collections 7 and 8 (90 and 154 days) respectively. N=52. The genera are read from left to right on each line.

lated and not inoculated with *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) when amplified with the primers LT10, LT11 and ST10, ST11 respectively.

Figure 5 shows the result obtained for the amplification of the LT gene, with a 221 bp product. The compost samples LTA1, LTA2, LTA3 were inoculated with *E. coli* (ETEC) and amplified the expected product. Two of the four compost samples (A1 and A2) that were not inoculated also amplified the same product even though the band was less intense.

Figure 6 shows the amplification product of the ST gene with a size of 167 bp. Three of the four compost samples inoculated with the *E. coli* (ETEC), STA1, STA2 and STA3, have amplified the fragment with the molecular weight of the target gene (167 bp). Likewise, for the four non-inoculated compost samples, A1, A2, A3 and A4, amplified fragments expected.

#### DISCUSSION

The pH values in composting process of domestic residue, usually starts with a more acidic pH, that is the result of the initial metabolic activity of the bacteria population producing organic acids and carbon dioxide. Throughout the composting process, the elimination of carbon dioxide and the degradation of acids and proteins promotes the increase in pH values [19,25]. The pH results obtained in this study are in agreement with the results obtained by other workers [4,10].

In the initial stages of the composting process mesophilic bacteria are the main agents of biodegradation. Mesophilic bacteria are partly killed or inactivated at the outcome of the thermogenic phase, in which thermophilic and thermotolerant species such as fungi, some bacteria, and actinomycetes emerge. Average counts of heterotrophic bacteria in this work was similar to the counts found by Sidhu *et al.* [26] and Tiquia & Tam [28].

A drop in coliforms counts is expected in composting process because of the sanitization of the process. In this work there

was no fall in the number of total and fecal coliform counts, and comparable results had been found by other authors [15] with a decrease in fecal coliform from  $2.0 \times 10^7$  to  $3.1 \times 10^3$  cells/g. Such results point to the effectiveness of the composting process to produce a better final compost.

The drop in coliform counts for the waste under composting has been ascribed to the high temperatures in the thermophilic phase or to the loss of humidity from within the compost [15,23] respectively. These authors also state that a simple reduction or even the whole elimination of fecal coliforms are not alone useful to establish the biological quality of composts, and point to the importance of an investigation for other

potentially pathogenic microorganisms that may be more resistant than the usual indicators detected in composting environments. Moreover, the full elimination of indicators from the compost is very difficult to accomplish [23]. On the other hand, some workers witnessed the complete elimination of coliforms even before the end of the first four weeks of composting [10,28].

In this study, a recontamination with coliforms was observed, possibly due to the sprinkling of the compost with runoff waste slops for the control of temperature. This is a strong evidence that the whole process needs adjusting, with certain modifications in order to increase composting efficacy.


The classic biochemical identification techniques rendered possible the establishment of a profile for the bacterial community present in the windrow of municipal waste under composting.

Several of the genera identified in this study had likewise been frequently found in municipal wastes [10].


The *Bacillus* genus was the most common genus identified in this work. This had also been observed by Blanc *et al.* [5], and Dees & Ghiorse [9] who stated that this prevalence happens due to the ability of the genus *Bacillus* to produce endospores which are extremely resistant to the high temperatures developed throughout the composting process.

The high presence of the *Pseudomonas* genus from the middle to the end of the process may be ascribed to a paucity of nutrients at the final phases of composting. Since this genus is metabolically very resourceful and has a high capacity to degrade renitent substances its presence in the final phases is expected [8,30].

Results showed that the compost samples A 3 and A 4 did not amplify for the LT gene and that sample STA4 followed suit for the ST gene. These results may be ascribed to the presence of a substance acting as inhibitor for the reaction, which commonly happens in DNA investigations of natural environment samples [1,21,27,31,32,35]. Since the compost analyzed was the same, only the DNA extraction happened in different experimental systems.



**Figure 5.** Agarose gel with the amplification products using LT10 and LT11 primers. Line (1): 100pb ladder; line (3): positive control - *E. coli* LT<sup>+</sup>(LT1); lines (4-6): compost inoculated with *E. coli* LT<sup>+</sup> (LTA1, LTA2, LTA3); lines (7-10): compost without inoculation (A1, A2, A3, A4); line (11): negative control of the reaction.



**Figure 6.** Agarose gel with the amplification products using ST10 and ST11 primers. Line (1): 100pb ladder; line (3): positive control - *E. coli* ST<sup>+</sup>(ST1); lines (4-6): compost inoculated with *E. coli* ST<sup>+</sup> (STA1, STA2, STA3); lines (7-11): compost without inoculation (A1, A2, A3, A4); line (12): negative control of the reaction.

Results obtained for enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in the samples of mature compost indicate that the PCR protocol is an important tool complementary to the classic biochemical assays that do not set apart species encoding or not virulence factors.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the Brazilian government agency CAPES/PROF for the student's MS grant and the financial support to this study.

#### SOURCES AND MANUFACTURERS

- <sup>1</sup>Gibco BRL Life Technology. Rockville, Maryland, USA.  
<sup>2</sup>Minicycler™ MJ Research. Watertown, Massachusetts, USA.  
<sup>3</sup>Kodak Digital Science camera. Progamma Kodak versão 35.2.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 **Al-Soud W.A. & Radström P. 1998.** Capacity of nine thermostable DNA polymerase to mediate DNA amplification in the presence of PCR-inhibiting samples. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 64: 3748-3753.
- 2 **APHA 1998.** *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*. 20th edn. New York: APHA, 1190p.
- 3 **Bari Q.H. & Koenig A. 2001.** Effect of air recirculation and reuse on composting of organic solid waste. *Resource, Conservation and Recycling*. 33: 93-111.
- 4 **Beffa T., Blanc M., Lyon P.F., Vogt G., Marchiani M., Fischer J.L. & Aragno M. 1996.** Isolation of *Thermus* strains from hot composts (60 to 80°C). *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 62: 1723-1727.
- 5 **Blanc M., Marilley L., Beffa T. & Aragno M. 1999.** Thermophilic bacterial communities in hot composts as revealed by most probable number counts and molecular (16s rDNA) methods. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 28: 141-149.
- 6 **Campbell S. 1995.** *Manual de compostagem para hortas e jardins: como aproveitar bem o resíduo orgânico doméstico*. São Paulo: Nobel, 149p.
- 7 **Clarke S.C. 2001.** Diarrhoeagenic *Escherichia coli* – an emerging problem? *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*. 41: 93-98.
- 8 **Cunha C.D. & Leite S.G.S. 1997.** Optimization of some environmental conditions to enhance gasoline biodegradation in soil microcosms bioaugmented with *Pseudomonas putida*. *Revista de Microbiologia*. 28: 129-134.
- 9 **Dees P.M. & Ghiorse W.C. 2001.** Microbial diversity in hot synthetic compost as revealed by PCR-amplified rRNA sequences from cultivated isolated and extracted DNA. *Microbiology Ecology*. 35: 207-216.
- 10 **Déportes I., Bendit-Guyod J.L., Zmirou D. & Bouvier M.C. 1998.** Microbial disinfection capacity of municipal solid waste (msw) composting. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 85: 238-246.
- 11 **Deus A.B.S. 1998.** Viabilidade do uso da compostagem aeróbia para tratamento de resíduo em grandes centros urbanos. 125f. Monografia (Exame de Qualificação) - Programa de Pós-graduação em Recursos Hídricos e Saneamento, Instituto de Pesquisas Hidráulicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.
- 12 **Dwarakanath P., Visweswariah S.S., Subrahmanyam Y.V.B.K., Shanthi G., Jagannatha H.M. & Balganesht S.T. 1989.** Cloning and hyperexpression of a gene encoding the heat-stable toxin of *Escherichia coli*. *Gene*. 81: 219-226.
- 13 **Gomez A. 1998.** The evaluation of compost quality. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*:17: 310 -314.
- 14 **Hartilieb N., Marschner B. & Klein W. 2001.** Transformation of dissolved organic matter (dom) and <sup>14</sup>C-labelled organic contaminants during composting of municipal biowaste. *Science of The Total Environmental*. 278: 1-10.
- 15 **Hassen A., Belguith K., Jedidi N., Cherif A., Cherif M. & Boudabous A. 2001.** Microbial characterization during composting of municipal solid waste. *Bioresource Technology*. 80: 217-225.
- 16 **Holt J.G., Krieg N.R., Sneath P.H.A., Staley J.T. & Williams S.T. 1994.** *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*. 9th edn. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 787p.
- 17 **Hue N.V. & Liu J. 1995.** Predicting compost stability. *Compost Science and Utilization*. 3: 8-15.
- 18 **Innocenti F.D., Bellia G., Tosin M., Kapanen A. & Itävaara A. 2001.** Detection of toxicity released by biodegradable plastics after composting in activated vermiculite. *Polymer Degradation Stability*. 73: 101-106.
- 19 **Jahnel M.C., Melloni R. & Cardoso E.J.B. 1999.** Maturidade de composto de resíduo urbano. *Scientia Agricola*. 56: 301-304.
- 20 **Kiehl E.J. 1985.** *Fertilizantes orgânicos*. São Paulo: Editora Agronômica Ceres Ltda., 429p.
- 21 **Kreader C.A. 1996.** Relief of amplification inhibition in PCR with bovine serum albumin or T<sub>4</sub> gene 32 protein. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 62: 1102-1106.
- 22 **Peters S., Koschinky S., Schwiager F. & Tebbe C.C. 2000.** Succession of microbial communities during hot composting as detected by PCR – single strand conformation polymorphism based genetic profiles of small – subunit rRNA genes. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 66: 930-936.

- 23 Redlinger T., Graham J., Barud V.C. & Avitia R. 2001. Survival of fecal coliforms in dry-composting toilets. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 67: 4036-4040.
- 24 Sambrook J., Fritsch E.F. & Maniatis T. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1355p.
- 25 Sharma V.K., Canditelli M., Fontoura F. & Cornacchia G. 1997. Processing of urban and agro-industrial residues by aerobic composting: review. *Energy Conversion*. 38: 453-478.
- 26 Sidhu J., Gibbs R.A., Ho G.E. & Unkovich I. 2001. The role of indigenous microorganisms in suppression of *Salmonella* regrowth in composted biosolids. *Water Research*. 35: 913-920.
- 27 Tebbe C.C. & Vahjen W. 1993. Interference of humic acids and DNA extracted directly from soil in detection and transformation of recombinant DNA from bacteria and a yeast. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 59: 2657-2665.
- 28 Tiquia S.M. & Tam N.F.Y. 2000. Composting of spent pig litter and sludge with forced-aeration. *Bioresource Technology*. 72: 1-7.
- 29 Trubetskaya O.E., Trubetskoj O.A. & Ciavatta C. 2001. Evaluation of the transformation of organic matter to humic substances in compost by coupling séc-page. *Bioresource Technology*. 77: 51-56.
- 30 Truffaut N., Mater D., Soulier S.H. & Poupin P. 2001. Outils moléculaires d'évaluation des capacités dégradatives de microflore de l'environnement. *Bulletin de la Société Française de Microbiologie*. 16: 190-196.
- 31 Tsai Y-L. & Olson B.H. 1991. Rapid method for direct extraction of DNA from soil and sediments. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 57: 1070-1074.
- 32 Tsai Y-L., Palmer L.J. & Sangermano L.R. 1993 Detection of *Escherichia coli* in sewage and sludge by polymerase chain reaction. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 59: 353-357.
- 33 Weppen P. 2001. Process calorimetry on composting of municipal organic wastes. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 21: 289-299.
- 34 Yamamoto T. & Yokota T. 1983. Sequence of heat-labile enterotoxin of *Escherichia coli* pathogenic for humans. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 155: 728-733.
- 35 Yeates C., Gillings M.R., Davison A.D., Altavilla N. & Veal D.A. 1997. PCR amplification of crude microbial DNA extracted from soil. *Letters Applied Microbiology*. 25: 303-307.

