Feline Eosinophilic Keratoconjunctivitis: Nonsteroidal vs Corticosteroid Topical Treatment
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ABSTRACT

Background: Feline eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis is a proliferative eye lesion of chronic aspect with usually unilateral presentation that may initiate as a superficial vascularization that evolves to a proliferative, granular, irregular lesion of whitish-pink aspect. With its association with an immune-mediated response, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories do not appear to be efficient, although few studies describe its use. This case report describes a case of a feline eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis with its clinical evolution since the use of nonsteroidal topical anti-inflammatory drug in an undiagnosed patient and the transition to a topical corticosteroid and cure after 14 days since diagnosis.

Case: An 8-year-old female cat was attended at the Veterinary Hospital of the Dom Bosco Catholic University (UCDB), with main complaint being an eye injury with at least 36 days of evolution and unresponsive to treatment (topical tobramycin 0.3% every 12 h / ketorolac trometamol 0.5%/ every 12 h and ophthalmic lubricant/every 4 h). Since the patient had free access to the street, the owners suspected of trauma-induced lesion. At physical examination, it was observed a proliferative lesion at the peri-limbal superotemporal quadrant of the right cornea with approximately 0.4 cm diameter, with color varying of pale to pink, with irregular surface and low vascularity, the adjacent conjunctiva was also affected with similar multiple nodular lesions (0.1 cm). Fluorescein test was negative as well as FIV/FeLV immunochromatography testing. Feline herpesvirus investigation was not possible. The patient was anesthetized and a lesion specimen was acquired with a cotton swab scraping and a fine needle aspiration. Cytology showed predominance of eosinophils and mast cells, with rare corneal epithelial cells, with smear background containing mast cell granules and free eosinophils. Presumptive diagnosis was eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis. After 14 days of topical corticosteroid (prednisolone acetate 1% every 8 h) the patient showed complete remission of the lesions with no relapse in 48 days.

Discussion: Misdiagnosis and consequently mistreatment seems a greater prejudice than the risks associated with sample collection of keratoconjunctival proliferative lesions. Due to the lack of cytobrush or cotton swab, apparently, the reported patient was not submitted to ophthalmic cytology due to reluctance of the staff regarding fine needle aspiration of the cornea lesion. Despite a greater risk of iatrogenic trauma with needle aspiration, with eye anatomy well defined, bevel size and movement amplitude respected, it is unlikely that severe complications could occur. In this case, the undiagnosed patient was submitted to unnecessary 15 days of topical antibiotic and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory, and no improvement of the clinical signs was observed. Despite non-recommended, few clinical trials as well as case descriptions are available comparing nonsteroidal and corticosteroid treatment of the disease. Once with diagnosis and beginning of topical prednisolone acetate 1% exclusively, the patient showed continuous improvement until complete remission of clinical signs after 14 days. This report reinforces the recommendation of corticosteroid therapy for feline eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis and the absence of efficacy of nonsteroidal drugs. It also highlights the importance of diagnosis before any medical treatment is considered.
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INTRODUCTION

Feline eosinophilic keratitis or eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis (FEK) is a proliferative corneal conjunctival lesion, possibly associated with an immune-mediated response that may be related with feline herpesvirus infection [4].

Usually, cytology evaluation is sufficient for diagnosis, with eosinophils and mast cells as predominant cells, but sample collection can be challenging considering the different aspects and sizes that ophthalmic lesions may present [8,12].

Treatment involves use of topical corticosteroid or other immunomodulatory drugs, and it is usually curative, while nonsteroidal topical anti-inflammatories (NSAIs) show lack of efficiency [1].

Considering the aspect of potential diagnostic challenge as well as scarce information with the use of NSAIs for FEK treatment, a case report is described focusing on cytology sampling and the comparison between treatment with NSAIs and corticosteroid therapy.

CASE

An 8-year-old domestic short-haired female sterile cat, with the main complaint being an eye injury with at least 36 days of evolution, was attended at the Veterinary Hospital of the Dom Bosco Catholic University (UCDB) - MS. The patient was being treated exclusively with topical drugs [tobramycin1 0.3% - one drop every 12 h; ketorolac trometamol1 0.5% - one drop every 12 h; and ophthalmic lubricant2 - every 4 h] and no improvement was observed within 15 days.

It seems that as no cytobrush or cotton swab were available, no sample collection was made considering staff reluctance with fine needle aspiration (FNA) and its possible complications. The owners also considered traumatic-associated injury, since the patient had free access to the street.

At physical examination, it was observed a proliferative lesion at the peri-limbal superotemporal quadrant of the right cornea with approximately 0.4 cm diameter, with color varying from pale (borders) to pink (center), with irregular surface and low vascularity. The adjacent conjunctiva was also affected with similar multiple nodular lesions (0.1 cm) [Figure 1A].

Fluorescein3 test was negative as well as FIV/FeLV immunochromatography4 testing. Feline herpesvirus investigation was not possible.

The patient was anesthetized with intravenous propofol5 [induction with 6 mg/kg/bolus and maintenance with 0.2 mg/kg/min]. For analgesia, a single dose of methadone6 was administered (0.2 mg/kg/IM). One drop of anesthetic topical solution6 (tetracaine chlorhydrate 1%, phenylephrine chlorhydrate 1%) was instilled three min previously to sample collection. Lesion specimen was acquired with a previously dampened (drop of 0.9% sterile solution) cotton swab scraping7 (Figure 1B) and a FNA (25Gx1/2")8 [Figure 1C]. The FNA was performed without attachment to a syringe, only with gentle vertical movements in the main lesion. Both procedures were easily performed, with no immediate or post complications observed.

Cytology showed predominance of eosinophils and mast cells, rare corneal epithelial cells, with smear background containing mast cell granules and free eosinophils. Presumptive diagnosis was of eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis [7].

After 14 days of topical corticosteroid [prednisolone acetate9 1% - one drop every 8 h] exclusively, the patient showed complete remission of the lesions (Figure1D) with no relapse in 48 days.

DISCUSSION

The term “eosinophilic keratitis” seems better replaced by “eosinophilic keratoconjunctivitis” since, usually, the conjunctiva is also affected in this disease [4]. That was observed in the patient of this report, which along with a main cornea lesion, also presented smaller nodular lesions of the same color and aspect at the adjacent scleral conjunctiva.

Even when the lesion is of classic description, no aspect of FEK is pathognomonic [1,4,8,10]. That considered, routine and easily available complementary tests such as fluorescein dye and cytology should be performed whenever possible.

Cytobrush, commonly used for endocervical sampling in women, found itself as a usable tool in different situations of cytology sampling. Its use on superficial ophthalmic lesions seems already consolidated, with good quality of material acquisition concomitant to minimal injury to the eye [6,7,12]. The bristles found on cytobrush probably have more potential to efficiently scrape the lesion than a cotton swab, for example.

Oppositely, fine needle aspiration of cornea and conjunctiva lesions are more prone to complications, since its natural puncture characteristic. The
risk of an iatrogenic corneal perforation is higher if compared to a smooth-brush-material object such as the cytobrush [6]. It is understanding that if the professional does not feel secure, such procedure should not be performed and referral should be considered.

Nonetheless, to the author’s experience, if the professional recognizes all anatomic structures and takes into account depth of lesion, bevel size and amplitude of movement, any serious iatrogenic lesions would hardly occur. Additionally, for such delicate lesions, it seems that attachment to a syringe and negative pressure would hardly be necessary, as well as would increase the risk of iatrogenic perforations.

A 25Gx1/2” needle without attachment to a syringe and gentle vertical movements were sufficient for a good sample, allowing diagnosis in this case. Even though no quality graduation was performed, the pathologist noted that the use of fine needle aspiration for preparing slide smears was slightly superior in relationship to cell count evaluation in comparison to the use of cotton swab.

Recommended FEK treatment involves the use of topical corticosteroids and/or immunomodulatory drugs [4,10]. It seems that the mechanism of action of corticosteroids in this disease may be associated with controlling the immune response more than its anti-inflammatory effect with inhibition of the synthesis of arachidonic acid, since NSAIs shows absolutely no improvement of the associated lesions in FEK, and drugs such as cyclosporine leads to complete remission and cure [1,10]. Topical megestrol acetate 0.5%, a progestin, also appears to be effective in this disease, but despite its glucocorticoid-associated effect, it is not completely elucidated if immunomodulation could also occur [3,11].
However, the complete mechanism of action remains purely speculation, since no clinical trials were found that considered corticosteroid dose-effect, for example. Topical ophthalmic treatment does not follow the classical dosage based on weight, and that is clearly observed in veterinary patients since cats and horses, both with eosinophilic associated keratitis, virtually receive the same dose of local medication with similar clinical outcomes [4,5]. That postulated, it appears that it is unknown if increase of NSAI topical concentration could have more efficient cyclooxygenase inhibition that would lead to any kind of response in FEK.

Scarce information regarding topical NSAI was found, and despite a case report describing its failure in treating FEK, the name of the principle and concentration were not cited [1]. As for the case described in this report, the use of ketorolac trometamol 0.5% did not result in any response, and the lesion seemed to be stationary.

If species extrapolation is considered, vernal keratoconjunctivitis in humans could be similar to FEK, since eosinophils are the most predominant lesion cell. In that disease, ketorolac trometamol 0.5% as well as diclofenac sodium 0.1% have shown to be effective in controlling clinical symptoms (i.e. itching, photophobia) [2,9]. Still, even then, topical corticosteroid is the treatment of choice.

In this case, since diagnosis, complete interruption of the previous treatment and institution of monotherapy with topical corticosteroid (prednisolone acetate 1%) resulted in progressive improvement of the lesion, with complete remission within 14 days. Since topical tobramycin 0.3% was also used in the first prescription, it should also be considered the absence of primary bacteria etiology in FEK, since cure was achieved even with discontinuation of the antibiotic. Also, with no indication of bacteria involvement such as cases with purulent discharge, rational use of antimicrobials is advised.

It is expected that this report aid other professionals with impossibility of opthalmic referral, with guidance such as cytology of proliferative keratoconjunctiva disorders, reinforcing the importance of diagnosis for an effective and focused treatment. It also evidences the inefficiency of topical ketorolac trometamol 0.5% (NSAI) and tobramycin 0.3% (antibiotic) in a FEK case.
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